ON NON-ADMISSIBLE IRREDUCIBLE MODULO p REPRESENTATIONS OF $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$

SUR LES REPRÉSENTATIONS IRRÉDUCTIBLES NON-ADMISSIBLES MODULO p DE $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$

EKNATH GHATE AND MIHIR SHETH

Abstract. We use a Diamond diagram attached to a 2-dimensional reducible split mod p Galois representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ to construct a non-admissible smooth irreducible mod p representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ following the approach of Daniel Le.

Résumé. Nous utilisons un diagramme de Diamond attaché à une représentation galoisienne mod p semi-simple réductible de dimension 2 de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ pour construire une représentation mod p non-admissible irréductible lisse de $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ en suivant l'approche de Daniel Le.

Contents

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime number, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ be the field of p-adic numbers, and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ be an algebraic closure of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ of cardinality p. The study of the admissibility of smooth irreducible representations of connected reductive p-adic groups goes back to Harish-Chandra ([6]). Building upon his work, Jacquet proved that every such representation over the field of complex numbers is admissible ([8], see also [3]). This result was extended by Vignéras to smooth irreducible representations over any algebraically closed field of characteristic not equal to p ([12]). In the note [1], the authors ask whether this is true for smooth irreducible representations over algebraically closed fields of characteristic p. It is known that every smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is admissible (see [2]). However, Daniel Le recently constructed *non-admissible* smooth irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$-linear representations

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 22E50, 11S37.
Key words and phrases. Smooth representations of p-adic reductive groups; Galois representations; diagrams.

of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, for F a finite unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree at least 3 and for $p > 2$, providing a negative answer to the question raised above ([9]). In this paper, we follow Le's approach and construct non-admissible irreducible representations of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ where $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ is the unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree 2. These results support the viewpoint of Breuil and Paškūnas that the mod p (and p-adic) representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ becomes more complicated as soon as $F \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$ ([5], see also [11]).

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$, $K = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{p^2})$, and $\Gamma = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$ with residue field $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Fix an embedding $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Let I and I_1 denote the Iwahori and the pro-p Iwahori subgroups of K respectively, and K_1 denote the first principal congruence subgroup of K. Write N for the normalizer of I (and of I_1) in G. As a group, N is generated by I, the center Z of G, and by the element $\Pi = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ p & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. All representations considered in this paper from now on are over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$-vector spaces. For a character χ of I, χ^s denotes its Π-conjugate sending g in I to $\chi(\Pi g \Pi^{-1})$.

A weight is a smooth irreducible representation of K. The K-action on such a representation factors through Γ and thus any weight is described by a 2-tuple $(r_0, r_1) \otimes \det^m := \mathrm{Sym}^{r_0}\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2 \otimes (\mathrm{Sym}^{r_1}\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^2)^{\mathrm{Frob}} \otimes \det^m$ of integers with $0 \leq r_0, r_1 \leq p-1$ together with a determinant twist for some $0 \leq m < p^2 - 1$ ([4], Lemma 2.16 and Proposition 2.17). Given a weight σ, its subspace σ^{I_1} of I_1-invariants has dimension 1. If χ_σ denotes the corresponding smooth character of I and $\chi_\sigma \neq \chi_\sigma^s$, then there exists a unique weight σ^s such that $\chi_{\sigma^s} = \chi_\sigma^s$ ([10], Theorem 3.1.1).

A *basic 0-diagram* is a triplet (D_0, D_1, r) consisting of a smooth KZ-representation D_0, a smooth N-representation D_1 and an IZ-equivariant isomorphism $r : D_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} D_0^{I_1}$ with the trivial action of p on D_0 and D_1. Given such a diagram such that $D_0^{K_1}$ has finite dimension, the smooth injective K-envelope $\mathrm{inj}_K D_0$ admits a non-canonical N-action which glues together with the K-action to give a smooth G-action on $\mathrm{inj}_K D_0$ ([5], Theorem 9.8). The G-subrepresentation of $\mathrm{inj}_K D_0$ generated by D_0 is smooth admissible and its K-socle equals the K-socle $\mathrm{soc}_K D_0$ of D_0.

From now on, assume that p is odd. Let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ be a continuous generic Galois representation such that p acts trivially on its determinant and $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ be the set of weights, called *Diamond weights*, associated to ρ as described in [5], Section 11. Breuil and Paškūnas attach a family of basic 0-diagrams $(D_0(\rho), D_1(\rho), r)$, called *Diamond diagrams*, to ρ such that $\mathrm{soc}_K D_0(\rho) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathcal{D}(\rho)} \sigma$ ([5], Theorem 13.8).

For a finite unramified extension F of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree at least 3, Le uses a Diamond diagram attached to an *irreducible* $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/F) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ to construct an infinite dimensional diagram which gives rise to a non-admissible smooth irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$ ([9]). His strategy does not work for a Diamond diagram attached to an irreducible Galois representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$ because such a diagram does not have suitable Π-action dynamics. However, for $F = \mathbb{Q}_{p^2}$, we observe that a Diamond diagram attached to a *reducible split* ρ has an indecomposable subdiagram with suitable Π-action dynamics so that Le's method can be used to obtain a non-admissible irreducible representation of $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_{p^2})$.

Acknowledgments. We thank Daniel Le, Sandeep Varma, M.-F. Vignéras and the referee for useful comments on earlier versions of this paper. The second author thanks Anand Chitrao for helpful discussions on diagrams. We also acknowledge support of the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project number 12-R&D-TFR-5.01-0500.

2. Reducible Diamond diagram

Let ω_2 be Serre's fundamental character of level 2 for the fixed embedding $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, and let $\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_{p^2}) \to \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ be a continuous reducible split generic Galois representation. The restriction of ρ to the inertia subgroup is, up to a twist by some character, isomorphic to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega_2^{r_0+1+(r_1+1)p} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $0 \leq r_0, r_1 \leq p-3$, not both equal to 0 or equal to $p-3$ ([4], Corollary 2.9 (i) and [5], Definition 11.7 (i)). Define the weight

$$\sigma := (r_0+1, p-2-r_1) \otimes \det^{p-1+r_1 p}.$$

Then the set of Diamond weights for ρ is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \left\{(r_0, r_1), \sigma, \sigma^s, (p-3-r_0, p-3-r_1) \otimes \det^{r_0+1+(r_1+1)p}\right\}$$

([5], Lemma 11.2 or Section 16, Example (ii)). Fix a Diamond diagram $(D_0(\rho), D_1(\rho), r)$ attached to ρ, and identify $D_1(\rho)$ with $D_0(\rho)^{I_1}$ as IZ-representations via r. There is a direct sum decomposition $D_0(\rho) = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{D}(\rho)} D_{0,\nu}(\rho)$ of K-representations with $\mathrm{soc}_K D_{0,\nu}(\rho) = \nu$ ([5], Proposition 13.4).

Now define

$$D_0 := D_{0,\sigma}(\rho) \oplus D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho) \ \text{ and } \ D_1 := D_0^{I_1}.$$

It follows from [5], Theorem 15.4 (ii) that (D_0, D_1, r) is an indecomposable subdiagram of $(D_0(\rho), D_1(\rho), r)$. Set

$$\tau := (r_0+2, r_1) \otimes \det^{p-2+(p-1)p} \ \text{ and } \ \tau' := (p-1-r_0, p-3-r_1) \otimes \det^{r_0+(r_1+1)p}.$$

The graded pieces of the socle filtrations of $D_{0,\sigma}(\rho)$ and $D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho)$ are as follows ([5], Theorem 14.8 or Section 16, Example (ii)):

$$D_{0,\sigma}(\rho): \ \sigma \ \text{———} \ \tau \oplus \tau^s \ \text{———} \ (p-4-r_0, r_1-1) \otimes \det^{r_0+2}$$

$$D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho): \ \sigma^s \ \text{———} \ \tau' \oplus \tau'^s \ \text{———} \ (r_0-1, p-4-r_1) \otimes \det^{(r_1+2)p}.$$

We have from [5], Corollary 14.10 that

$$D_1 = \chi_\sigma \oplus \chi_\tau \oplus \chi_\tau^s \oplus \chi_\sigma^s \oplus \chi_{\tau'} \oplus \chi_{\tau'}^s. \tag{2.1}$$

For an IZ-representation V and an IZ-character χ, we write V^χ for the χ-isotypic part of V.

3. An infinite dimensional diagram and the construction

Let $D_0(\infty) := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} D_0(i)$ be the smooth KZ-representation with component-wise KZ-action, where there is a fixed isomorphism $D_0(i) \cong D_0$ of KZ-representations for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Following [9], we denote the natural inclusion $D_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} D_0(i) \hookrightarrow D_0(\infty)$ by ι_i, and write $v_i := \iota_i(v)$ for $v \in D_0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $D_1(\infty) := D_0(\infty)^{I_1}$. We define a Π-action on $D_1(\infty)$ as follows. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_i) \in \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\times}$. For all integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$\Pi v_i := \begin{cases} (\Pi v)_i & \text{if } v \in D_1^{\chi_\sigma}, \\ (\Pi v)_{i+1} & \text{if } v \in D_1^{\chi_\tau}, \\ \lambda_i(\Pi v)_i & \text{if } v \in D_1^{\chi_{\tau'}}. \end{cases}$$

This uniquely determines a smooth N-action on $D_1(\infty)$ such that $p = \Pi^2$ acts trivially on it. Thus we get a basic 0-diagram $D(\lambda) := (D_0(\infty), D_1(\infty), \mathrm{can})$ with the above actions where can is the canonical inclusion $D_1(\infty) \hookrightarrow D_0(\infty)$.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a smooth representation π of G such that*

(1) $(\pi\big|_{KZ}, \pi\big|_N, \mathrm{id})$ contains $D(\lambda)$,
(2) π is generated by $D_0(\infty)$ as a G-representation, and
(3) $\mathrm{soc}_K\pi = \mathrm{soc}_K D_0(\infty)$.

Proof. Let Ω be the smooth injective K-envelope of D_0 equipped with the KZ-action such that p acts trivially. The smooth injective I-envelope $\mathrm{inj}_I D_1$ of D_1 appears as an I-direct summand of Ω. Let e denote the projection of Ω onto $\mathrm{inj}_I D_1$. There is a unique N-action on $\mathrm{inj}_I D_1$ compatible with that of I and compatible with the action of N on D_1. By [5], Lemma 9.6, there is a non-canonical N-action on $(1-e)(\Omega)$ extending the given I-action. This gives an N-action on Ω whose restriction to IZ is compatible with the action coming from KZ on Ω.

Now let $\Omega(\infty) := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \Omega(i)$ with component-wise KZ-action where there is a fixed isomorphism $\Omega(i) \cong \Omega$ of KZ-representations for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. We wish to define a compatible N-action on $\Omega(\infty)$. As before, denote the natural inclusion $\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega(i) \hookrightarrow \Omega(\infty)$ by ι_i, and write $v_i := \iota_i(v)$ for $v \in \Omega$. Let Ω_χ denote the smooth injective I-envelope of an I-character χ. Thus, from (2.1), we have $e(\Omega) = \mathrm{inj}_I D_1 = \Omega_{\chi_\sigma} \oplus \Omega_{\chi_\tau} \oplus \Omega_{\chi_\tau^s} \oplus \Omega_{\chi_\sigma^s} \oplus \Omega_{\chi_{\tau'}} \oplus \Omega_{\chi_{\tau'}^s}$. If $v \in (1-e)(\Omega)$, we define $\Pi v_i := (\Pi v)_i$ for all integers i. Otherwise, we define $\Pi v_i := (\Pi v)_i$ if $v \in \Omega_{\chi_\sigma}$, $\Pi v_i := (\Pi v)_{i+1}$ if $v \in \Omega_{\chi_\tau}$, and $\Pi v_i := \lambda_i(\Pi v)_i$ if $v \in \Omega_{\chi_{\tau'}}$. By demanding that Π^2 acts trivially, this defines a smooth N-action on $\Omega(\infty)$ which is compatible with the N-action on $D_1(\infty)$, and whose restriction to IZ is compatible with the action coming from KZ on $\Omega(\infty)$. By [10], Corollary 5.5.5, we have a smooth G-action on $\Omega(\infty)$. We then take π to be the G-representation generated by $D_0(\infty)$ inside $\Omega(\infty)$. If follows easily from the construction that π satisfies the properties (1), (2) and (3). □

Theorem 3.2. *If $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_0$ for all $i \neq 0$, then any smooth representation π of G satisfying the properties (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 3.1 is irreducible and non-admissible.*

Proof. Let $\pi' \subseteq \pi$ be a non-zero subrepresentation of G. By property (3), we have either $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \pi') \neq 0$ or $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma^s, \pi') \neq 0$. We consider the case $\mathrm{Hom}_K(\sigma, \pi') \neq 0$; the other case is treated analogously. There exists a non-zero $(c_i) \in \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ such that

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma}(\rho)) \cap \pi' \neq 0.$$

We claim that

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i+j}\big)(D_0) \subset \pi' \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.3}$$

We first show that $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho)) \subset \pi'$. Note that $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma}(\rho)) \cap \pi' \neq 0$ is equivalent to $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma) \subset \pi'$. Since $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_\sigma}) \subset \pi'$ and π' is stable under the Π-action, we have $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_\sigma^s}) \subset \pi'$. By Frobenius reciprocity, we have a non-zero K-equivariant map

$$\mathrm{Ind}_I^K\Big(\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_\sigma^s})\Big) \to \pi' \tag{3.4}$$

whose image is $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(I(\delta(\sigma),\sigma^s))$, where δ is the bijection on the set of Diamond weights $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$ defined in [5], Section 15, and $I(\delta(\sigma),\sigma^s)$ is the K-subrepresentation of $D_{0,\delta(\sigma)}(\rho)$ with cosocle σ^s (and socle $\delta(\sigma)$). In our setting, δ maps σ to σ^s and vice versa ([5], Lemma 15.2). Thus $I(\delta(\sigma),\sigma^s) = \sigma^s$ and so $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma^s) \subset \pi'$. Let $R\big((\sum_i c_i\iota_i)(\sigma)\big)$ be the K-subrepresentation of the compact induction $\text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G\big((\sum_i c_i\iota_i)(\sigma)\big)$ defined in [5], Section 17. By [5], Lemmas 17.1, 17.4 and 17.8 we have

$$\mathrm{Ind}_I^K\Big(\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_\sigma^s})\Big) \subset R\Big(\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma)\Big),$$

and by Frobenius reciprocity, there is a non-zero map

$$\text{c-Ind}_{KZ}^G\Big(\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma)\Big) \to \pi' \tag{3.5}$$

which restricts to the map (3.4). So the image Q of $R\big((\sum_i c_i\iota_i)(\sigma)\big)$ in π' under the map (3.5) contains $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma^s)$. Since $\mathrm{soc}_K Q \subset \mathrm{soc}_K \pi = \mathrm{soc}_K D_0(\infty)$ and the Jordan-Hölder factors of $R\big((\sum_i c_i\iota_i)(\sigma)\big)$ are multiplicity free ([5], Lemma 17.11), $\mathrm{soc}_K Q$ is isomorphic to a subrepresentation of the direct sum of the weights in $\mathcal{D}(\rho)$. Therefore by [5], Lemma 19.5, $\mathrm{soc}_K Q = \big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma^s)$, and by [5], Lemma 19.7, Q contains a copy of the K-representation $D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho)$. But $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho))$ is the unique K-subrepresentation of π isomorphic to $D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho)$ and with K-socle $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma^s)$. Thus $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho)) = Q \subset \pi'$.

Now, since $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(\sigma^s) \subset \pi'$, a symmetric argument shows that $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma}(\rho)) \subset \pi'$. Thus

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_0) \subset \pi'.$$

Therefore

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_\tau}) \subset \pi' \text{ and } \big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_\tau^s}) \subset \pi'.$$

Since π' is stable under the Π-action, we have

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i+1}\big)(D_1^{\chi_\tau^s}) \subset \pi' \text{ and } \big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i-1}\big)(D_1^{\chi_\tau}) \subset \pi'.$$

In particular,

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i+1}\big)(D_{0,\sigma}(\rho)) \cap \pi' \neq 0 \text{ and } \big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i-1}\big)(D_{0,\sigma}(\rho)) \cap \pi' \neq 0.$$

By the same arguments as above, we find that

$$\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i+1}\big)(D_0) \subset \pi' \text{ and } \big(\sum_i c_i\iota_{i-1}\big)(D_0) \subset \pi'.$$

The claim (3.3)) is now proved by repeatedly using the Π-action.

For $(d_i) \in \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, let $\#(d_i)$ denote the number of non-zero d_i's. Among all the non-zero elements (c_i) of $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ for which $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_0) \subset \pi'$, we pick one with $\#(c_i)$ minimal. We may also assume that $c_0 \neq 0$ using (3.3). We now show that $\#(c_i) = 1$. Assume to the contrary that $\#(c_i) > 1$. Since $\big(\sum_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_{\tau'}}) \subset \pi'$ and π' is stable under the Π-action, we have

$$\big(\sum_i \lambda_i c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_{\tau'}^s}) \subset \pi'.$$

Since $\big(\sum_i \lambda_0 c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_{\tau'}^s})$ is also clearly in π', subtracting it from the above, we get

$$\big(\sum_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_0) c_i\iota_i\big)(D_1^{\chi_{\tau'}^s}) \subset \pi'.$$

Writing $(c_i') := ((\lambda_i - \lambda_0)c_i)$, we see that

$$\big(\sum_i c_i'\iota_i\big)(D_{0,\sigma^s}(\rho)) \cap \pi' \neq 0.$$

Following the same arguments as in the previous paragraphs, we get that $\big(\sum_i c_i'\iota_i\big)(D_0) \subset \pi'$. However, the hypothesis $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_0$ for all $i \neq 0$, and the assumption $\#(c_i) > 1$ imply that (c_i') is non-zero and $\#(c_i') = \#(c_i) - 1$ contradicting the minimality of $\#(c_i)$. Therefore, we have $c_0\iota_0(D_0) \subset \pi'$. So $\iota_0(D_0) \subset \pi'$. Using (3.3) again, we get that $\bigoplus_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \iota_j(D_0) = D_0(\infty) \subset \pi'$. By property (2), we have $\pi' = \pi$.

The non-admissibility of π is clear because $\pi^{K_1} \supseteq \mathrm{soc}_K\pi$ and $\mathrm{soc}_K\pi$ is not finite dimensional by property (3). □

Remark 3.6. If the diagram $(D_0(\rho), D_1(\rho), r)$ is defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ and $(\lambda_i) \in \prod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times$, then the representation π in Theorem 3.1 has a model π_0 over $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$. Furthermore, π_0 is absolutely irreducible and non-admissible if the (λ_i) satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2. In fact, for any field C containing $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, the methods of this paper produce an absolutely irreducible non-admissible smooth C-representation $C \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \pi_0$ of G.

Now let C be an arbitrary field of characteristic p with algebraic closure $\overline{C}$. From the discussion in the previous paragraph, the representation $\overline{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \pi_0$ is a smooth absolutely irreducible $\overline{C}$-representation which has a model $C' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \pi_0$ over C', where $C' = C\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \subset \overline{C}$. By [7], Lemma II.5, there exists a smooth irreducible C-representation π_C such that $\overline{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \pi_0$ is a $\overline{C}$-subrepresentation of $\overline{C} \otimes_C \pi_C$. Since $\overline{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{p^2}} \pi_0$ is non-admissible, $\overline{C} \otimes_C \pi_C$ is non-admissible. It follows from [7], Lemma III.1 (ii) that π_C is also non-admissible. Thus we obtain a smooth irreducible non-admissible representation of G over any field C of characteristic p.

References

[1] N. Abe, G. Henniart, F. Herzig and M.-F. Vignéras, *Questions on mod p representations of reductive p-adic groups*, available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.02063`.

[2] L. Berger, *Central characters for smooth irreducible modular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova **128** (2012), 1–6.

[3] J. Bernstein, *All reductive p-adic groups are of type I*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **8**, no. 2 (1974), 3–6.

[4] C. Breuil, *Representations of Galois and of* GL_2 *in characteristic p*, Lecture notes of a graduate course at Columbia University (Fall 2007).

[5] C. Breuil, V. Paškūnas, *Towards a modulo p Langlands correspondence for* GL_2, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **216** (2012).

[6] Harish-Chandra, *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups. Notes by G. van Dijk*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **162**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1970).

[7] G. Henniart and M.-F. Vignéras, *Representations of a p-adic group in characteristic p*, in Representations of Reductive Groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **101** (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019), 171—210.

[8] H. Jacquet, *Sur les représentations des groupes réductifs p-adiques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **280** (1975), A1271–A1272.

[9] D. Le, *On some non-admissible smooth representations of* GL_2, to appear in Math. Res. Lett., arXiv preprint available at `https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.10247`.

[10] V. Paškūnas, *Coefficient systems and supersingular representations of* $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.) **99** (2004).

[11] B. Schraen, *Sur la présentation des représentations supersingulières de* $\mathrm{GL}_2(F)$, J. Reine Angew. Math. **704** (2015), 187–208.

[12] M.-F. Vignéras, *Représentations l-modulaires d'un groupe réductif p-adique avec* $l \neq p$, Progress in Mathematics **137**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (1996).

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai - 400005, India.

E-mail address: `eghate@math.tifr.res.in`

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai - 400005, India.

E-mail address: `mihir@math.tifr.res.in`