Goa, Various Possible Titles

- ▶ Invariant Measures for Higher Rank Actions
- Quantitative (Multiple Unipotent) Recurrence and Additional Invariance
- Transportation of Positive Entropy

General Setup for the Talk

Throughout $X = G/\Gamma$, A < G is a diagonalisable subgroup of higher rank (dim(A) > 1), μ is an A-invariant and ergodic measure on X.

Stupid example (Rank one factors): $X=X_1\times X_2$ and $A=A_1\times A_2$ with dim $A_1=\dim A_2=1$

Assume our space is never of this form, e.g. by assuming that Γ is irreducible.

We also assume throughout that $h_{\mu}(a) > 0$ for some $a \in A$. Better examples will follow . . .

Previous results

by Katok=:K, Spatzier, Kalinin, Einsiedler=:E.

The first satisfying result (only assuming positive entropy) was

Theorem (L:=Lindenstrauss)

 $X = SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})/\Gamma$, A =full diagonal subgroup $\Longrightarrow \mu = m_X =$ Haar measure on X.

Previous results

by K, Spatzier, Kalinin, E.

Theorem (L)

$$X = SL_2(\mathbb{R})^k/\Gamma$$
, $A = \text{full diagonal subgroup} \Longrightarrow \mu = m_X$.

Theorem (E,K,L)

$$X = SL_3(\mathbb{R})/SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$$
, $A = full \ diagonal \ subgroup $\Longrightarrow \mu = m_X$.$

Previous results

Theorem (L)

 $X = SL_2(\mathbb{R})^k/\Gamma$, $A = \text{full diagonal subgroup} \Longrightarrow \mu = m_X$.

Theorem (E,K,L)

 $X = SL_3(\mathbb{R})/SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, A =full diagonal subgroup $\Longrightarrow \mu = m_X$.

Theorem (E,L)

G semisimple, A = full diagonal subgroup in some almost direct factor \implies all entropy is explained by rank one factors or unipotent invariance.

Theorem (E,L)

 $X = X_1 \times X_2$, $A_1 \cong A_2$, A the diagonal in $A_1 \times A_2$ and μ is a joining $\Longrightarrow \mu$ is algebraic.

New results (E,L, 2015-2016)

To summarise previous results either assumed that A is maximal (at least in some sense) or that μ is special.

New results (E,L, 2015-2016)

From now on we always assume that Γ is an arithmetic and irreducible lattice, and as before that μ has positive entropy for some element of the action.

Theorem (E,L)

$$X = SL_2(\mathbb{R})^k/\Gamma$$
, $A = rank$ two subgroup \Longrightarrow

- ightharpoonup X is compact and μ is algebraic, or
- lacktriangle all of the entropy of μ is explained by unipotent invariance.

New results (E,L, 2015-2016)

Theorem (E,L)

 $X = SL_2(\mathbb{R})^k/\Gamma$, A = rank two subgroup \Longrightarrow

- ightharpoonup X is compact and μ is algebraic, or
- ▶ all of the entropy of μ is explained by unipotent invariance.

Theorem (E,L)

 $X = SL_3(\mathbb{R})^2/\Gamma$, $A = A_1 \times A_2$ for two rank one subgroups $A_1, A_2 < SL_3(\mathbb{R}) \Longrightarrow$

- $ightharpoonup \mu$ is algebraic, or
- all entropy is explained by unipotent invariance, or
- μ is supported on a closed orbit of a smaller subgroup and some nontrivial element of A acts trivially on this orbit.

Goa, Various Possible Titles

- ► Invariant Measures for Higher Rank Actions
- P Quantitative (Multiple Unipotent) Recurrence and Additional Invariance

 Transportation of Positive Entropy

 These oppear in the proof

To discuss the ideas in the proof we will assume $G = G_1 \times G_2$, $G_5 = Sl_2(R)^{10}$, $A_j < G_j$ nonk 1, and $A = A_1 \times A_2$

Furthermore $a \in A_1$ has $h_{\mu}(a)>0$ and $b \in A_2 \setminus \{0\}$.

The proof of this observation is similar to the pf. of positive entropy for AQVE (Bourgoin-L).

Suppose hu (b) = 0. Then the dynamically imorpout
Boven bolls for b shrink in moosure very slowly:

$$h_{\mu}(b) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-\log \mu\left(\frac{n}{k-n}b^{-k}B_{\varepsilon}^{k}(b^{k}x)\right)}{2n} = 0$$

In the AQUE-proof this was lead to a contradiction using all Hecke operators. - up to complexity (-height) T there ear TogT -mony

hence more than T-mony

- diagonal flow has up to Tonly logT volume

unipolent flow has up to Tot bost Toolume ⇒ We want to show e.g. $\mu(x \in X \mid d(u_s \times , \times) > T^{-8} \text{ for oll } s \text{ with } |s| \in T) \rightarrow 0$ $y = y(\beta, \dim G) \approx \frac{\beta}{\dim G}$ if $\mu(s) = 1$ and y = 1

"Quantifative Recurrence results", 1993

X covered by ~ E - boll, B Within one B look of all points x & B that don't return up to time T under a single m.p. mop \Rightarrow measure of that subset $e^{-\beta}$ (with $\beta=1$) ⇒ set T = E (dim X+1) & run Borel-Contelli to obtain that a.e. point has Vometh anough and the e-ball

TBIB

0 ∈ A, < G, & h, (a) > 0 Recall

Combine with recurrence pf

$$\Rightarrow \text{ for o.e. } \times \forall T \exists u_s, |s| > 1, |v_s| < T$$

$$u_s \cdot x \text{ also } \forall y \text{ i col}$$

$$d(x, u_s \cdot x) \leq T$$

$$\vartheta \approx \frac{B}{d \sin \theta}$$

8= Bdima

Instead of balls we want to use Bowen bolls for 6 — need very few to cover X (os if dim≈0) - improves recurrence orgunes t => for o.e. x $\forall T=\hat{e} \exists u_s, |s| > 1, |u_r| < T$ Longe enough $u_r \times typical$ us · x typical

 $u_s \cdot x \in \mathcal{B}_{\kappa n, \epsilon}(x)$ Let may choose k > 0entitionally large

Working a bit horder still we can also find inshed of 1 visit exponentially mony, say $e^{\frac{\hbar}{2}n}$ -mony, visits of $u_s \cdot x$ to $u_s \cdot x$.

Recall u is large in Gn = & is large in Gn
but trivial in Gz = & very close to a
conjugate of Gaz(Az)
in Gz

Note that the height of & is constrolled
by the site of us; -i.e. by T=en.

The so obtained lattice elements of commule (at first only in a foctor of Gz) and must be diagonalitable (as they are very close to a diagonal subgp). However, they ere too many to fit inside an abelian diagonalizable skjrog ->

Where does the additional inverionce come from?

All of the above can be consid out conditionally over the foctor defined by the leafnise measure elx > pives two points on o Go-o-bit with the same Go-leaf wise measure.