## Assignment 1

## Analysis I (Fall 2024, Semester I)

Deadline: September 04, 2024

## September 2, 2024

**1**. Consider a *countably infinite* family  $\{A_1, A_2, \ldots\}$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ . We are interested in the description of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F} = \sigma(\{A_1, A_2, \ldots\})$  generated by this family.

To this end, for each  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$ , let  $\boldsymbol{A}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$  denote the set  $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} A_i^{\varepsilon_i}$  where  $\mathbb{N}^* = \{1,2,\ldots\}$  is the set of all positive integers and  $A_i^{\varepsilon} = A_i$  if  $\varepsilon = 0$  and  $A_i^c$  if  $\varepsilon = 2$ . Note that any  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  corresponds to a number in the real interval [0,1], namely  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}^*} \frac{\varepsilon_i}{3^i} \in [0,1]$ . Furthermore this correspondence is *injective*. Identifying  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  with this number, we can therefore define, for any  $B \subset [0,1]$ , the set  $\boldsymbol{A}^B = \bigcup_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in B} \boldsymbol{A}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ .

- (a) Show that  $A_i = \mathbf{A}^{T_i}$  where  $T_i$  is the set of all  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \in \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$  satisfying  $\varepsilon_i = 0$ .
- (b) A (closed) triadic subinterval of [0, 1] is an interval of the form  $\left[\frac{k}{3^n}, \frac{k+1}{3^n}\right]$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $k + 1 \leq 3^n$ . Show that  $\mathbf{A}^T \in \mathcal{F}$  for any triadic subinterval T of [0, 1].
- (c) Conclude that  $\mathcal{F} = \{ \mathbf{A}^B : B \in \mathscr{B}(\mathcal{C}) \}$  where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the Cantor (ternary) set.
- **2**. Consider *n* measurable spaces  $(\Omega_k, \mathcal{F}_k)$ ;  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and let  $\Omega := \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \Omega_k$  be the cartesian product of  $\Omega_k$ 's. A measurable rectangle  $R \subset \Omega$  is a set of the form  $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} A_k$  where  $A_k \in \mathcal{F}_k$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .
  - (a) Show that the class of all measurable rectangles in  $\Omega$  is a semifield and hence the class of all finite, disjoint unions of measurable rectangles is a field  $\mathcal{A}_0$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ . The  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $\mathcal{A}_0$ , denoted as  $\prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathcal{F}_k$ , is called the *product*  $\sigma$ -field and the measurable space  $(\Omega, \prod_{1 \leq k \leq n} \mathcal{F}_k)$  is called the *product space*.
  - (b) Let j < n and fix  $\omega_{\leq j} \in \prod_{1 \leq k \leq j} \Omega_k$ . For any  $A \subset \Omega$ , define the section of A at  $\omega_{< j}$  as follows:

$$A(\omega_{\leq j}) = \left\{ \omega_{>j} \in \prod_{j < k \le n} \Omega_k : (\omega_{\leq j}, \omega_{>j}) \in A \right\} \subset \prod_{j < k \le n} \Omega_k$$

Show that if  $A \in \prod_{1 < k \le n} \mathcal{F}_k$ , then  $A(\omega_{\le j}) \in \prod_{j < k \le n} \mathcal{F}_k$ .

- (c) (Not for submission) Define product  $\sigma$ -field for an arbitrary collection of measurable spaces and prove (b).
- **3**. Consider the field  $\mathcal{F}_0$  of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  consisting of all finite, disjoint unions of rightsemiclosed rectangles, i.e., sets of the form  $\prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} (a_j, b_j]$  where  $-\infty \leq a_j < b_j < \infty$ for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Now let  $\lambda$  denote the standard volume function on the class of rectangles, i.e.,

$$\lambda\left(\prod_{1\leq j\leq k} (a_j, b_j]\right) = \prod_{1\leq j\leq k} (b_j - a_j).$$

Show that  $\lambda$  extends to a measure on  $\mathcal{F}_0$ . Consequently,  $\lambda$  extends to a unique ( $\sigma$ -finite) measure on  $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  called the *Lebesgue measure*. Show that the Lebesgue measure is translation invariant, i.e.,  $\lambda(A + x) = \lambda(A)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $A \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

- 4. Keeping with the notation in the previous problem, let  $\lambda_n$  denote the *n*-dimensional Lebesgue measure on  $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now suppose that  $\mu$  is a translation invariant measure on  $\mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  satisfying  $\mu(I^n) = 1$  where  $I^n := (0, 1]^n$ . Through the following steps, we would prove that  $\mu = \lambda_n$ . All the rectangles below are assumed to be right-semiclosed.
  - (a) Show that  $\mu(R) = \lambda_n(R)$  for any rectangle R with integer side-lengths.
  - (b) Show that  $\mu(R) = \lambda_n(R)$  for any rectangle R with rational lengths.
  - (c) Show that  $\mu(R) = \lambda_n(R)$  for all rectangles R and conclude that  $\mu = \lambda_n$ .
- 5. Recall the definition of an outer measure  $\lambda$  on  $\Omega$ . Also recall that a set  $E \subset \Omega$  is called  $\lambda$ -measurable if

$$\lambda(A) = \lambda(A \cap E) + \lambda(A \cap E^c) \quad \text{for all} \quad A \subset \Omega.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the class of all  $\lambda$ -measurable sets.

- (a) Show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a field.
- (b) Show that for any finite sequence of disjoint sets  $E_1, \ldots, E_n \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A \subset \Omega$ , one has

$$\lambda\left(A\cap\bigcup_{1\leq k\leq n}E_k\right)=\sum_{1\leq k\leq n}\lambda(A\cap E_k).$$

- (c) Extend (b) to countable, disjoint unions.
- (d) Conclude that  $\mathscr{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field and that  $\lambda$  is a measure on  $\mathscr{M}$ .

(e) Let  $\mu$  be a measure on a field  $\mathcal{F}_0$  of subsets of  $\Omega$ . If  $A \subset \Omega$ , define

$$\mu^*(A) = \inf\left\{\sum_n \mu(E_n) : A \subset \bigcup_n E_n, E_n \in \mathcal{F}_0\right\}.$$

Show that  $\mu^*$  is an outer measure on  $\Omega$  and that  $\mu^* = \mu$ .

- (f) Show that the  $\sigma$ -field of  $\mu^*$ -measurable sets contains  $\mathcal{F}_0$ .
- **6**. Let (X, d) be a metric space. An outer measure  $\lambda$  on X is called a *metric outer measure* if

$$\lambda(A \cup B) = \lambda(A) + \lambda(B)$$

for all pairs of *positively separated* subsets A and B of X, i.e.,  $d(A, B) := \inf_{a \in A, b \in B} d(a, b) > 0$ . Through the following steps, we would prove that all Borel subsets of X are  $\lambda$ -measurable.

(a) Let  $F \subset X$  be closed and  $A \subset X$ . Define, for each  $n \ge 1$ ,  $A_n = \{x \in A : d(x,F) \ge 1/n\}$ . Show that

$$\lambda(A) \ge \lambda(A \cap F) + \lambda(A_n).$$

- (b) Show that  $A \setminus F = \bigcup_n A_j$ .
- (c) Show that

$$\lambda(A) \ge \lambda(A \cap F) + \lambda(A \cap F^c).$$

- (d) Conclude that all sets in  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  are  $\lambda$ -measurable.
- 7. Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $A \subset X$ . Define, for any  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\delta}(A) = \inf\left\{\sum_{n} (\operatorname{diam} U_{n})^{\alpha} : A \subset \bigcup_{n} U_{n}, U_{n} \subset X, \operatorname{diam} U_{n} < \delta\right\}$$

where diam  $U := \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in U\}$  is the *d*-diameter of *U*. By convention, we set  $0^0 = 1$  and diam $(\emptyset)^0 = 0$ .  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\infty}(A)$  is called the *Hausdorff content* of *A*. Clearly,  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\delta}(A)$  is decreasing in  $\delta$ . Now define the  $\alpha$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of *A*, denoted as  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(A)$ , as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(A) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\delta}(A) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}_{\delta}(A)$$

- (a) Prove that  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$  is an outer measure on X and hence, by the previous problem, all Borel subsets of X is  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha}$ -measurable.
- (b) What if we replaced  $(\operatorname{diam}(C))^{\alpha}$  with any  $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ -valued set function  $\tau$  satisfying  $\tau(\emptyset) = 0$ .

(c) Define the Hausdorff dimension of  $S \subset X$ , denoted by  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S)$ , as follows:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S) = \inf\{\alpha \ge 0 : \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(S) = 0\}.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{H}^d(S) = \infty$  for all  $d < \dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S)$  so that  $\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S)$  can be alternatively defined as

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}}(S) = \sup\{\alpha \ge 0 : \mathcal{H}^{\alpha}(S) = \infty\}.$$

8. Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on X.  $\mu$  is called *regular* if

 $\mu(A) = \sup \{\mu(K) : K \subset A \text{ compact}\} = \inf \{\mu(U) : U \supset A \text{ open}\}$ 

for all  $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ . The first condition is called *inner regularity* whereas the second condition is called *outer regularity*.  $\mu$  is called a *Radon measure* if it is regular and *locally finite*, i.e., every point of X has a neighborhood with finite measure. Through the following steps, we would prove that any *finite*, Borel measure on X is a Radon measure if X is a *Polish space* — a separable, completely metrizable topological space.

- (a) Show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $A \in \mathscr{B}(X)$ , there exists a closed set  $F \subset A$  and an open set  $G \supset A$  such that  $\mu(G \setminus F) < \varepsilon$ .
- (b) (**Tightness**) Show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K \subset X$  compact such that  $\mu(X \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ .
- (c) Conclude that  $\mu$  is regular.