

GEOMETRIZATION OF THE TUY/WZW/KZ CONNECTION

INDRANIL BISWAS, SWARNAVA MUKHOPADHYAY, AND RICHARD WENTWORTH

ABSTRACT. Given a simple, simply connected, complex algebraic group G , a flat projective connection on the bundle of nonabelian theta functions on the moduli space of semistable parabolic G -bundles over any family of smooth projective curves with marked points was constructed by the authors in an earlier paper. Here, it is shown that the identification between the bundle of nonabelian theta functions and the bundle of WZNW conformal blocks is flat with respect to this connection and the one constructed by Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada. As an application, we give a geometric construction of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection on the trivial bundle over the configuration space of points in the projective line whose typical fiber is the space of invariants of tensor product of representations.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Conformal Blocks | 5 |
| 2.1. Affine Lie algebras and integrable modules | 5 |
| 2.2. Sheaf of Conformal Blocks | 6 |
| 2.3. Coordinate free construction | 7 |
| 3. Energy momentum tensor and the Sugawara construction | 8 |
| 3.1. Construction of the WZW/TUY connection | 9 |
| 3.2. WZW/TUY connection | 10 |
| 3.3. TUY connection on coordinate free conformal blocks | 10 |
| 4. Moduli spaces of parabolic bundles | 11 |
| 4.1. Parabolic G bundles | 12 |
| 4.2. Parabolic bundles as equivariant bundles | 13 |
| 4.3. Parabolic determinants as equivariant determinants | 14 |
| 5. TDOs, heat operators and the canonical class | 14 |
| 5.1. Heat operators and the Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong equation | 16 |
| 5.2. Heat operators and metaplectic quantization | 17 |
| 6. Parabolic Hitchin connection is the TUY/WZW connection | 18 |
| 6.1. The Hitchin symbol | 18 |
| 6.2. Parabolic Hitchin connection via heat operators | 19 |
| 7. Uniformization of moduli spaces and conformal blocks | 21 |

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14H60, 32G34, 53D50; Secondary: 81T40, 14F08.

I.B. is supported in part by a J.C. Bose fellowship and both I.B. and S.M. are also partly supported by DAE, India under project no. 1303/3/2019/R&D/IIDAE/13820. S.M. received additional funding from the Science and Engineering Research Board, India (SRG/2019/000513). R.W. is supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1906403.

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 7.1. | The line bundle on the universal moduli stack | 21 |
| 7.2. | The relative uniformization and parabolic theta functions | 22 |
| 8. | Sugawara tensor and the parabolic Hitchin heat operator | 23 |
| 8.1. | Twisted \mathcal{D} -modules via quasi-section of Drinfeld-Simpson | 23 |
| 8.2. | Projective heat operator from Sugawara | 24 |
| 8.3. | The parabolic duality pairing and the Hitchin symbol | 25 |
| 8.4. | Proof of the Main theorem (Theorem 1.1) | 27 |
| 9. | Proof of Theorem 6.3 | 28 |
| 9.1. | Reduction to the SL_r case | 28 |
| 9.2. | Reduction to the SL_r with full flags | 29 |
| 9.3. | Reduction to abelian varieties | 31 |
| 9.4. | Abelianization and determinant of cohomology | 33 |
| 10. | Geometrization of the KZ equation on invariants | 34 |
| 10.1. | KZ connection | 34 |
| 10.2. | Invariants as global sections | 35 |
| 10.3. | Differential operators | 35 |
| | References | 36 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The Wess-Zumino-Novikov-Witten (WZNW) model [50, 71] is a cornerstone of two dimensional rational conformal field theories [9, 45]. The WZNW conformal blocks were constructed mathematically by Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [68]. Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an affine Lie algebra and (C, \mathbf{p}) a smooth curve C with n -distinct marked points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Choose formal coordinates $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ around \mathbf{p} , and using these coordinates assign a copy of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ to each point p_i . Fix a positive integer ℓ . Then for any choice of n -tuple of integrable highest weights $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ of level ℓ , the construction in [68] associates a finite dimensional vector space $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ to the data $(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$. For a family of smooth curves $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ with n -distinct sections \mathbf{p} , these vector spaces patch together to produce a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell) \rightarrow S$. The Sugawara construction [68] endows this sheaf with the structure of a twisted \mathcal{D} -module, and hence $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ is actually a vector bundle. The authors of [68] show that this vector bundle extends to the Deligne-Mumford-Knudsen compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{g,n}$ of the moduli spaces of n -pointed curves $\mathcal{M}'_{g,n}$ with chosen formal coordinates. Moreover, the flat projective connection on the interior $\mathcal{M}'_{g,n}$ extends to a flat projective connection with logarithmic singularities over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{g,n}$. The bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell) \rightarrow S$ of conformal blocks is sometimes called the *Friedan-Shenker bundle*. We refer to the above mentioned flat projective connection on $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell) \rightarrow S$ as the *WZW/TUY connection*.

Later, Tsuchimoto [66] gave a coordinate free construction of the bundle of conformal blocks and showed that it descends (cf. Fakhruddin [25]) to a vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ on the Deligne-Mumford-Knudsen moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ of n -pointed stable nodal curves. The flat projective connection also descends to a projective connection with logarithmic singularities. In other words, there is a projectively flat isomorphism between the conformal blocks $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\dagger}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ and the

pullback $F^* \mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ under the natural forgetful map $F : \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. We refer the reader to Section 2 for a construction of conformal blocks and Section 3 for the construction of the WZW/TUY connection.

We now discuss how conformal blocks are related to the moduli spaces of bundles on curves. The moduli space $M_G(C)$ of principal bundles, with a reductive structure group G , on a smooth projective curve C provides a natural nonabelian generalization of the Jacobian variety $J(C)$, which parametrizes line bundles of degree zero on C . The moduli space of (semistable) principal G -bundles on a smooth projective algebraic curve is itself a projective variety. It was originally constructed through Geometric Invariant Theory; its smooth locus is parametrized by stable bundles with minimal automorphism groups (see [18]). There are various important variations on this construction. One can choose marked points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ on the algebraic curve C and decorate principal G bundles \mathcal{P} with a generalized flag structure over \mathbf{p} , leading to the notion of quasi-parabolic bundles. Additionally, one can choose weight data $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ in the Weyl alcove, or equivalently weights $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, and use them to define a suitable notion of stability and semistability. The corresponding moduli spaces $M_{G,\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{\text{par,ss}}(C, \mathbf{p})$ can, in turn, be understood as the space of representations of the fundamental group of the corresponding punctured surface $C \setminus \{\mathbf{p}\}$, where the loops around the marked points go to fixed conjugacy classes determined by $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ [44, 59]. This generalizes the classical results of Narasimhan-Seshadri [49] and Ramanathan [53], proved in the non-parabolic case.

The moduli space $M_{G,\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{\text{par,ss}}(C, \mathbf{p})$ is equipped with a natural ample *determinant of cohomology* line bundle $\text{Det}_{\text{par},\phi}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ associated to a choice of faithful linear representation ϕ of G ; this generalizes the theta line bundle on the Jacobians. Therefore, the global sections of this line bundle on $M_{G,\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{\text{par,ss}}(C, \mathbf{p})$ can thus be thought of as a nonabelian generalization of the classical theta functions on the Jacobian $J(C)$. We refer the reader to Section 4.1 for more details on the constructions of the moduli space and the parabolic determinant line bundle on it.

Via uniformization theorems of Harder and Drinfeld-Simpson [24, 32], the moduli spaces of parabolic bundles also have an adèlic description that directly connects to the representation theory of affine Lie algebras via the work of several authors (see [7, 27, 38, 41, 51, 61]). Using this adèlic description, the corresponding moduli stack of principal G -bundles and its parabolic analog $\text{Par}_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ can be expressed as a double quotient

$$\text{Par}_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) = G(\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(*\mathbf{p}))) \backslash \prod_{i=1}^n G(\mathbb{C}((\xi_i))) / \mathcal{P}_i,$$

where the \mathcal{P}_i are parahoric subgroups of $G(\mathbb{C}[[\xi_i]])$ determined by the weights τ_i . The weights also determine a homogeneous $G(\Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(*\mathbf{p}))$ -equivariant line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ on $\text{Par}_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$. The line bundle $\text{Det}_{\text{par},\phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ coincides with $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$, where a is a rational number determined by the Dynkin index of the representation ϕ . Generalizations (Kumar [37], Mathieu [43]) of the Borel-Weil theorems (see (7.3)) for affine flag varieties $G(\mathbb{C}((\xi)))/\mathcal{P}_i$, coupled with the adèlic description, give a canonical isomorphism (see 7.2) with conformal blocks

$$\mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathfrak{g}, \ell) \cong H^0(M_{G,\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{\text{par,ss}}(C, \mathbf{p}), \text{Det}_{\text{par},\phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau})).$$

This isomorphism can be reinterpreted as the Chern-Simon/WZW correspondence. More details are given in Section 7.1.

Using differential geometric methods, Hitchin, [33], generalizes a construction of Mumford-Welters [70] to obtain a flat projective connection on the Friedan-Shenker bundle with fibers $H^0(M_G(C), \text{Det}^{\otimes \ell})$, from the viewpoint of *geometric quantization* in the sense of Kostant-Souriau. This connection also appears in Witten's [72] interpretation of Jones polynomial link invariants as 3-manifold invariants. Hitchin's construction was reinterpreted by van Geemen-de

Jong [69] sheaf theoretically in terms of the existence of a “heat operator” (Section 5) We recall the details of the general methods of Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong [69] in Section 5. We also refer to the several complementary approaches of Andersen [1], Axelrod-Witten-della Pietra [2], Baier-Bolognesi-Martens-Pauly [3], Faltings [26], Ginzburg [31], Ran [54], Ramadas [52], Sun-Tsai [63] and for generalizations to reductive groups, Belkale [10].

In [40], Laszlo showed that the connection constructed by Hitchin and the one constructed by Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [68] coincide under the natural identification between $H^0(M_G(C), \text{Det}^{\otimes \ell})$ and $\mathcal{V}_0^\dagger(C, \mathfrak{g}, \ell)$. A similar result for twisted Spin groups was also proved by Mukhopadhyay-Wentworth [47]. The following questions are natural in the context of parabolic moduli spaces:

- (1) Is there a projective heat operator (see Section 5 and Definition 5.5) on the line bundle $\text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ that induces a flat projective connection on the vector bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ with fibers $H^0(M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}(C, \mathbf{p}), \text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau}))$?
- (2) If such a connection exists, is the identification of conformal blocks with nonabelian parabolic theta functions flat with respect to this connection and the WZW/TUY connection?

For $g(C) \geq 2$, Scheinost-Schottenloher, [57], constructed a parabolic Hitchin connection for $G = \text{SL}_r$ under the assumption that the canonical bundle of $M_{\text{SL}_r, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}(C, \mathbf{p})$ admits a square-root. Bjerre [21] removed the “restriction” in [57] for $G = \text{SL}_r$ by working on a different parabolic moduli space with full flags. In both [21, 57], the authors construct a connection on the push-forward “metaplectically corrected” line bundles of the form $\text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \otimes K_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}(C, \mathbf{p})}^{1/2}$. We also refer the reader to Remark 8.3. In [19], we constructed a projective heat operator on $\text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ in general. This was produced from a candidate parabolic Hitchin symbol (see (6.6)) satisfying a Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong type equation (see (6.2)). However, question (2) was not addressed.

The following result answers the above question (2) and thus generalizes the result of Laszlo, proved in the non-parabolic case.

Theorem 1.1 (MAIN THEOREM). *Let S parametrize a smooth family of n -pointed curves. Let $\pi_e : M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs} \rightarrow S$ be the relative moduli space parametrizing regularly stable parabolic G bundles, i.e. stable parabolic bundles with minimal automorphisms. The natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}\pi_{e*} \text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) ,$$

constructed via the uniformization theorem, between the projectivizations of the bundles of conformal blocks and nonabelian parabolic theta functions, is flat for the WZW/TUY connection on $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ and the parabolic Hitchin connection on $\mathbb{P}\pi_{e} \text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$.*

Let us now focus on the genus zero case. Since \mathbb{P}^1 has a global coordinate and a global meromorphic two form on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with second order poles along the diagonal, the WZW/TUY connection gives a usual flat connection on the bundle of conformal blocks. The equations for the flat sections are known as Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov (or KZ) equations [35]. Thus, the KZ equations constitute a system of first order differential equations, arising from the conformal Ward identities, that determines n -point correlation functions in the Wess-Zumino-Witten-Novikov model of two dimensional conformal field theory. The KZ equations have remarkable realizations in many other areas. For example higher dimensional generalizations of hypergeometric functions are known to be solutions of these equations [56]. The KZ equations can also be regarded as quantizations of the isomonodromy problem for differential equations of Fuchsian type [55]. The Kohn-Drinfeld [23, 36] theorem relates the monodromy representation of the Braid group induced by the KZ connection with the solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation.

Here, we consider the KZ equations as equations for flat sections of the trivial vector bundle $\mathbb{A}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ over the configuration space X_n of n -points in \mathbb{C} with fibers

$$A_{\vec{\lambda}} := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}, \mathbb{C}).$$

We restrict the projective heat operator constructed in [19] to the open substack $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{p}, \vec{\lambda})$ of quasiparabolic bundles in case of genus zero, where the underlying principal G -bundle bundle is trivial. This turns out to be the quotient stack

$$\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{p}, \vec{\lambda}) = [(G/P_{\lambda_1} \times \cdots \times G/P_{\lambda_n})/G],$$

where P_{λ_i} are parabolic subgroup determined by λ_i and the global sections of the homogeneous line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ are just the invariants $A_{\vec{\lambda}}$. Thus, we obtain a flat connection on the vector bundle $\mathbb{A}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ over X_n . Finally using Theorem 1.1, we identify this connection with the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection. This gives an alternative geometric construction of the KZ equations. We refer the reader to Section 10 and Corollary 10.1 for more details and precise statements.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Sections 2 and 3 we review in some detail the construction of the WZW/TUY connection in the parabolic setting. In Section 4 we define the line bundles over moduli spaces of parabolic G -bundles whose sections give rise to the Friedan-Shenker bundles. We also relate these line bundles to the determinant of cohomology in the relative setting. In Section 5, we review the construction from [19] of the Hitchin connection in the parabolic setting. This involves the metaplectic correction in a central way. An important step in this section is the re-expression of one of the ‘‘controlling equations’’ of van Geemen-de Jong for the existence of a projective heat operator on elements of the rational Picard group (see Section 5.2). Finally, in Section 6.2 we state the fundamental result, Theorem 6.3, which provides a simplification of the expression for parabolic Hitchin symbol. The essential point is a reflection of the fact that the Sugawara operators coming from affine Lie algebras do not depend on the highest weights. This theorem is proved later in Section 9 by a reduction to the case of vector bundles and parabolic structures corresponding to full flags. Finally, in Section 8, using this result we directly relate the symbols of the Sugawara tensor and the parabolic Hitchin connection, thus proving Theorem 1.1 (see Section 8.4). In the last Section 10 we elaborate on the special case of genus zero curves.

Acknowledgments. We thank Prakash Belkale for suggesting the question of constructing the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection geometrically. S. M. would like to thank Arvind Nair for useful discussions.

2. CONFORMAL BLOCKS

In this section, we recall the basic notions of conformal blocks following Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [68]. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex semisimple Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ a Cartan subalgebra. Let Δ be a system of roots and $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$ the normalized Cartan-Killing form such that $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}, \theta_{\mathfrak{g}}) = 2$ for the longest root $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}$. We let $\nu_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{h}^* \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{h}$ denote the isomorphism induced by $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}$.

2.1. Affine Lie algebras and integrable modules. Let ξ be a formal parameter. The affine Lie algebra

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi)) \oplus \mathbb{C}c$$

is a central extension of the loop algebra $\mathbb{C}((t))$ by c . The Lie bracket operation on $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is given by the formula

$$(2.1) \quad [X \otimes f, Y \otimes g] := [X, Y] \otimes fg + \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X, Y) \text{Res}_{t=0}(gdf) \cdot c,$$

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and f, g are elements of $\mathbb{C}((\xi))$.

We now briefly recall the basic objects in the representation theory of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. It is well-known that the finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} modules are parametrized by the subset $P_+ \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ consisting of dominant integral weights. The representation corresponding to a weight λ will be denoted by V_λ .

Let $\ell > 0$ be a positive integer, and consider the set

$$P_\ell(\mathfrak{g}) := \{\lambda \in P_+(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, \theta) \leq \ell\}.$$

The highest weight irreducible integrable representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ at level ℓ are classified by the set $P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$ defined above. The $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module corresponding to λ will be denoted by \mathcal{H}_λ . These modules satisfy the following property:

- The modules \mathcal{H}_λ are infinite dimensional.
- The central element c acts on \mathcal{H}_λ as multiplication by ℓ .
- The inclusion $V_\lambda \subset \mathcal{H}_\lambda$ holds, where V_λ is the aforementioned finite dimensional representation.
- Every element of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ acts locally nilpotently on \mathcal{H}_λ .

2.2. Sheaf of Conformal Blocks. Integrable representations of affine Lie algebras were used by Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [68] and Tsuchiya-Kanie [67] to define conformal blocks. In this paper, we will restrict ourselves to conformal blocks associated to smooth curves. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth projective curves, and let $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be n non intersecting sections of the map π such that the complement $\mathcal{C} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^n p_i(S)$ is an affine scheme.

Consider formal coordinates ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n around the sections $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ giving isomorphisms $\lim_m \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}/\mathcal{J}_{p_i}^m \cong \mathcal{O}_S[[\xi_i]]$, where \mathcal{J}_{p_i} is the ideal sheaf of the section p_i . Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra. As before, we get a sheaf of \mathcal{O}_S Lie algebras defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_n(S) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S((\xi_i)) \right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \cdot c$$

together with the following cocycle of the central extension in (2.2):

$$[(X_1 \otimes f_1, \dots, X_n \otimes f_n); (Y_1 \otimes g_1, \dots, Y_n \otimes g_n)] := \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_i, Y_i) \text{Res}_{t=0}(g_i df_i).$$

The Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_n(S)$ in (2.2) contains a natural subsheaf of Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(*D)$, where $D = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(S)$. That it satisfies the Lie algebra condition is actually guaranteed by the residue theorem.

For any choice of n -tuple of weights $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ in $P_\ell(\mathfrak{g})$, consider the $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_n(S)$ -module (hence it is also a $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(*D)$ -module)

$$\mathcal{H}_{\vec{\lambda}}(S) := \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_2} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_n} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_S.$$

Definition 2.1. The sheaf of covacua $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \mathbf{p}, \ell)$ at level ℓ is defined to be the largest quotient of $\mathcal{H}_{\vec{\lambda}}(S)$ on which $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(*D)$ acts trivially. The sheaf of conformal blocks $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \mathbf{p}, \ell)$ is defined to be the \mathcal{O}_S dual of the sheaf of covacua, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \mathbf{p}, \ell) &:= \mathcal{H}_{\vec{\lambda}}(S) / \left(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(*D) \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\vec{\lambda}}(S) \right), \\ \mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \mathbf{p}, \ell) &:= \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(*D)} \left(\mathcal{H}_{\vec{\lambda}}(S), \mathcal{O}_S \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the above definition uses only the fact that the formal coordinates identify the completed local ring with the Laurent series ring, the definition of sheaf of covacua and the sheaf of conformal blocks can be extended in a straightforward manner to families of nodal curves with Deligne-Mumford stability property.

2.3. Coordinate free construction. The sheaf $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$ and their integrable modules can be defined without the choice of formal coordinates ξ , which we recall from Tsuchimoto [66]. Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be as above. Consider the sheaf of formal meromorphic functions on \mathcal{C} with poles along the marked sections

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}/p_i} := \lim_a \lim_m \mathcal{O}(ap_i(S))/\mathcal{J}_{p_i}^m.$$

Using this the *coordinate free affine Lie algebra* is defined as follows:

$$(2.3) \quad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{C}/p_i) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}/p_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \cdot c.$$

Its n -pointed analog is defined to be

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_n(\mathcal{C}/S) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{C}/p_i} \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \cdot c.$$

The Lie bracket operation is defined as done in the previous section.

The Verma module $\mathcal{M}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}/S)$ and the coordinate free highest weight integrable module $\mathbb{H}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}/S)$ can be defined similarly by inducing representations using a parabolic subalgebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_{p_i(S)} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{C}/p_i}(S) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \cdot c$ on the finite dimensional module V_λ via evaluation. We refer the reader to [66] for more details.

Definition 2.2. The coordinate free sheaf of covacua $\mathbb{V}_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \ell)$ is defined to be the sheaf of coinvariants $\mathbb{H}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}/S)/(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}(*D)\mathbb{H}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}/S))$. As before, the sheaf of coordinate free conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \ell)$ is defined to be the \mathcal{O}_S -module dual of $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \ell)$.

Observe that a choice of formal coordinates around the marked points actually induces isomorphisms between $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{C}/p_i)$ and the sheaf $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S((t_i)) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \cdot c$. This identifies the coordinate free conformal blocks and the sheaf of covacua with those obtained by a choice of coordinates.

We now recall some important properties of the sheaf of conformal blocks. The reader is referred to Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [68], Fakhruddin [25], and Sorger [60] for proofs and further expositions.

Theorem 2.3. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra and $\ell > 0$ a positive integer. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *The sheaf of conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \ell)$ carries a flat projective connection and hence it is locally free.*
- (2) *The sheaf of conformal blocks descends to a vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ with a flat projective connection on the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$. Moreover, the vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ extends to a vector bundle over the Deligne-Mumford compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, and the projective connection extends to a projective connection with logarithmic singularities.*
- (3) *Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{g,n}$ be the moduli stack of stable curves along with a choice of formal coordinates around the marked points. Then the sheaf $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{C}/S, \ell)$ gives a vector bundle with a flat projective connection with logarithmic singularities along the boundary.*
- (4) *The natural forgetful map form $F : \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ identifies the vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ with the pull-back $F^*\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$.*
- (5) *The Verlinde formula, [27, 64], computes the rank of the vector bundle $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$.*

We will refer to the connections in Theorem 2.3 as the *TUY/WZW* connections; their construction is recalled in Section 3.

Remark 2.4. There are several other important properties — e.g. “propagation of vacua” and “factorization theorems” — exhibited by conformal blocks. We refer the reader to [68] for more details.

We also point out that $\mathcal{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ and $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ do not agree as twisted \mathcal{D} -modules. We shall address this difference in Section 3.3.

We refer the reader to Tsuchimoto [66] for the computation of the Atiyah algebra of $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$.

3. ENERGY MOMENTUM TENSOR AND THE SUGAWARA CONSTRUCTION

In this section, following the discussion in [68] we recall the definition of the Sugawara tensor, which will be used in defining the connections on the sheaf of covacua and conformal blocks.

Let \mathfrak{g} and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be as in the previous section. For any $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, the element $X \otimes \xi^m \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ will be denoted by $X(m)$. The energy momentum tensor $T(z)$ at level ℓ is defined by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad T(z) = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} :J^a(z)J^a(z):,$$

where $: :$ is the normal ordering (cf. [68, p. 467]) and $\{J^1, \dots, J^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} with respect to the normalized Cartan-Killing form. Also, define

$$X(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} X(n)z^{-n-1}$$

for any element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. The n -th Virasoro operator L_n (see Kac-Wakimoto [34]) is defined by the following formula:

$$(3.2) \quad L_n := \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} :J^a(m)J^a(n-m):.$$

The operators L_n act on the integrable highest weight module \mathcal{H}_λ of highest weight λ (see Section 2.1 for \mathcal{H}_λ). The natural gradation on the integrable highest weight module $\mathcal{H}_\lambda(d)$ can be rewritten as follows:

Lemma 3.1. *The gradation $\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \bigoplus_{d=0}^\infty \mathcal{H}_\lambda(d)$ gives a spectral decomposition of the Virasoro action by L_0 . The degree d part $\mathcal{H}_\lambda(d)$ is given by the formula*

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda(d) = \{|\Phi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_\lambda \mid L_0|\Phi\rangle = (d + \Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \ell))|\Phi\rangle\}$$

with $\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g}, \ell) = \frac{\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, \lambda + 2\rho)}{2(\ell + g^*)}$ being the trace anomaly at level ℓ while ρ is the Weyl vector.

The energy momentum tensor in (3.1) and the Virasoro operators in (3.2) are related by the formula

$$(3.3) \quad T(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} L_m z^{-m-2}.$$

For $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $f(z) \in \mathbb{C}((z))$ and $\underline{\ell} = \ell(z)\frac{d}{dz}$, define (as in [68])

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} X[f] &:= \text{Res}_{z=0} (X(z)f(z)) dz, \\ T[\underline{\ell}] &:= \text{Res}_{z=0} (T(z)\underline{\ell}(z)) dz. \end{aligned}$$

In particular if $l(z) = \sum_n l_n z^{n+1}$, then $T[\underline{\ell}] = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} l_n L_n$. The following results are well known (see Kac-Wakimoto [34]):

Proposition 3.2. *The operators $X[f]$ and $T[\underline{\ell}]$ act on \mathcal{H}_λ . As operators on \mathcal{H}_λ , the following equalities hold:*

- (1) $L_0 = T[\xi \frac{d}{d\xi}]$,
- (2) $X[f] = X \otimes f(\xi)$,
- (3) $[T[\underline{\ell}], X[f]] = -X[\underline{\ell}(f)]$, and
- (4) $[T[\underline{\ell}], T[\underline{m}]] = -T[[\underline{\ell}, \underline{m}]] + \frac{\ell \cdot \dim \mathfrak{g}}{12(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \text{Res}_{z=0}(\ell''' mdz)$.

3.1. Construction of the WZW/TUY connection. Let C be an irreducible smooth projective curve with n -marked points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we choose a formal parameter ξ_i around the marked point p_i on the curve C . Following [68], let us briefly recall the construction of a flat projective connection.

Let $\pi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a versal family of n -pointed stable curves equipped with n non intersecting sections $p_i : S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Let

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(S)$$

be the corresponding divisor on \mathcal{C} . We have a short exact sequence of sheaves on \mathcal{C}

$$(3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C},\pi}(mD) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Pushing (3.5) forward by the projection π , and taking limit $m \rightarrow +\infty$, we get the exact sequence

$$(3.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(*D) \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C},\pi}(*D) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

on S . On the other hand, for m big enough, we have the short exact sequence

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(mD) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \bigoplus_{j=1}^m \mathcal{O}_S \xi_i^{-j} \frac{d}{d\xi_i} \rightarrow 0$$

on \mathcal{C} . Again pushing (3.7) forward by π , and then taking the long exact sequence of higher direct images, we obtain the short exact sequence

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(*D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S[\xi_i^{-1}] \frac{d}{d\xi_i} \rightarrow R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the family of n -pointed curves \mathcal{C} is versal, we have the Kodaira-Spencer isomorphism $\mathcal{T}_S \xrightarrow{\sim} R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D)$. Combining (3.6) and (3.8), the following commutative diagram of homomorphisms is obtained:

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(*D) & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C},\pi}(*D) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}_S \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow \mathbf{t} & & \downarrow KS \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(*D) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S[\xi_i^{-1}] \frac{d}{d\xi_i} & \longrightarrow & R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where \mathbf{t} is the composition of map

$$\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C},\pi}(*D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S((\xi_i)) \frac{d}{d\xi_i} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S[\xi_i^{-1}] \frac{d}{d\xi_i};$$

in other words, \mathbf{t} is the projection to the polar part of the Laurent expansion of sections in terms of the given coordinates ξ_i around the divisors $p_i(S)$. This map \mathbf{t} is an isomorphism because the family \mathcal{C} is versal. Following [68], the image of \mathbf{t} will be denoted by $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}/S)$. There is a short exact sequence of sheaves of Lie algebras on \mathcal{C}

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(*D) \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}/S) \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\vec{\ell} = (l_1, \dots, l_n)$ and $\vec{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ be two formal vector fields; both l_i and m_i are defined on a formal neighborhood of $p_i(S)$. The Lie bracket $[\vec{\ell}, \vec{m}]_d$ in $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}/S)$ is given by the formula

$$(3.11) \quad [\vec{\ell}, \vec{m}]_d := [\vec{\ell}, \vec{m}]_0 + \theta(\vec{\ell})(\vec{m}) - \theta(\vec{m})(\vec{\ell}),$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_0$ is the usual Lie bracket of formal vector fields and $\theta(\vec{\ell})$ acts component-wise using the formal parameters ξ_i . Now for any formal vector field $\vec{\ell}$, define the operator $D(\vec{\ell})$ on $\mathcal{H}_{\vec{\chi}}$ by the formula

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{D}(\vec{\ell})(F \otimes |\Phi\rangle) := \theta(\vec{\ell})(F) \otimes |\Phi\rangle - F \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \rho_j(T[L_j]) \right) |\Phi\rangle.$$

3.2. WZW/TUY connection. After possibly shrinking S , we can find a symmetric bidifferential ω on $\mathcal{C} \times_S \mathcal{C}$ with a pole of order two on the diagonal such that the biresidue is 1. For any formal vector field $\vec{\ell}$ define

$$a_\omega(\vec{\ell}) := -\frac{c_v}{12} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} (\ell_i(\xi_i) S_\omega(\xi_i) d\xi_i),$$

where S_ω is the projective connection associated to the ω , and $c_v = \frac{\ell \dim \mathfrak{g}}{\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}$ is the central charge. The map

$$a_\omega : \mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}/S) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S, \quad \vec{\ell} \longmapsto a_\omega(\vec{\ell})$$

is an \mathcal{O}_S -module homomorphism.

Now let τ be a vector field on S . Take a lift of τ to $\mathfrak{L}(\mathcal{C}/S)$, and denote it by $\vec{\ell}$. With the choice of a bidifferential ω as above, we define the following operator on the sheaf of conformal blocks:

$$(3.13) \quad \nabla_\tau^{(\omega)}(\langle \Psi |) := \mathcal{D}(\vec{\ell})(\langle \Psi |) + a_\omega(\vec{\ell})(\langle \Psi |).$$

The following proposition is due to [68].

Proposition 3.3. *The operator $\nabla_\tau^{(\omega)}$ in (3.13) defines a flat projective connection on the sheaf of conformal blocks $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\chi}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$.*

3.3. TUY connection on coordinate free conformal blocks. In this section we discuss the dependence of the construction (in [68]) of the flat projective connection on the choice of formal coordinates on the curves around the marked points. A coordinate-free construction was discussed following [66] and here we give another description of it from the view point of vertex operators as in Frenkel-Ben-Zvi [30]. The conventions and notation of [30] are followed. We refer the reader also to [46, Section 5].

The complete topological \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$ will be denoted by \mathcal{O} . Every continuous automorphism of \mathcal{O} is obtained, and in fact is uniquely determined, by mapping a topological generator, meaning by the assignment $z \longmapsto f(z)$, where f is a formal power series in the variable z with $f'(z) \neq 0$. Consider the subgroup $\text{Aut}_+ \mathcal{O}$ of automorphisms of \mathcal{O} consisting of power series having the leading term to be one. This $\text{Aut}_+ \mathcal{O}$ is a pro-unipotent pro-algebraic group, and it follows that $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ is a semidirect product of the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* with $\text{Aut}_+ \mathcal{O}$.

The Lie algebra of $\text{Aut} \mathcal{O}$ is the natural ring of derivations

$$\text{Der}_0 \mathcal{O} = t\mathbb{C}[[t]]\partial_t.$$

The Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}_+ \mathcal{O}$ is

$$\text{Der}_+ \mathcal{O} = t^2\mathbb{C}[[t]]\partial_t.$$

Furthermore, the natural exponential map from $\text{Der}_+ \mathcal{O}$ (respectively, $\text{Der}_0 \mathcal{O}$) to $\text{Aut}_+ \mathcal{O}$ (respectively, $\text{Aut}_0 \mathcal{O}$) is an isomorphism (respectively, is surjective).

3.3.1. $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ -torsors. We recall a basic principal from Frenkel-Ben-Zvi [30, Section 6.4.6]. Let G be any group, V a G -module and S a set with a simply transitive G action. Consider the set $V_S := S \times_G V$. If we choose a point $s \in S$, then V_S is identified with the vector space V . However observe that the set V_S has a vector space structure which is independent of the choice of a point $s \in S$.

Let S be the set of formal coordinates Aut_p on the local ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{C,p}$ at a smooth point p on a curve C with at most nodal singularities. The roles of G and V will be played by $\text{Aut} \mathcal{O}$ and the integrable module \mathcal{H}_λ , for an affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus \mathbb{C}.c$. By the Sugawara construction we get an action of $-t^{n+1}\partial_t$ on \mathcal{H}_λ via the n -th Virasoro operator L_n . However the eigenvalues of the action of $t\partial_t$ are not always integral, and since the exponential map from $\text{Der}_0 \mathcal{O}$ has a nontrivial kernel, we cannot exponentiate the action of Virasoro on \mathcal{H}_λ to an action of $\text{Aut} \mathcal{O}$.

Consider the vector space $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}dt^{\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\ell)}$. Here dt is a 1-form defined on a formal neighborhood around the point $p \in C$. There is a natural $\text{Der}_0 \mathcal{O}$ -module given by the Lie derivation of 1-forms by vector fields. Since the eigenvalues of L_0 acting on \mathcal{H}_λ are of the form $\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\ell) + \mathbb{Z}$, and as $-t\partial_t$ is identified with L_0 , we get that the eigenvalues of the action of $t\partial_t$ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}dt^{\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\ell)}$ are integral and the actions of L_n , $n > 0$, are locally nilpotent. Thus by the observation in Section 6.4.6, we get that an action of $\text{Aut} \mathcal{O}$ on $\mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}dt^{\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\ell)}$. The vector space

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda(C/p) := \text{Aut}_p \times_{\text{Aut}_+ \mathcal{O}} (\mathcal{H}_\lambda \otimes \mathbb{C}dt^{\Delta_\lambda(\mathfrak{g},\ell)})$$

does not depend on the choice of the coordinate function and hence it admits an action of the coordinate free affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}(C/p)$ (see (2.3)). Moreover the module $\mathcal{H}_\lambda(C/p)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}_\lambda(C/p)$. We refer the reader to Lemma 5.3 in [46]. Consequently, by the general construction in [30], one gets a flat projective connection on the coordinate free conformal block $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathbb{C}/S)$.

The above discussion is summarized in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *Consider the natural forgetful map $F : \overline{\mathcal{M}}'_{g,n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ constructed by forgetting the choice of formal parameters at the n -marked points. Then the natural identification between $F^*\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g},\ell)$ and $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g},\ell)$ as locally free sheaves intertwines, up to a first order operator, the pull-back of the coordinate free TUY connection on $F^*\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g},\ell)$ and the TUY connection on $\mathbb{V}_\lambda^\dagger(\mathfrak{g},\ell)$.*

4. MODULI SPACES OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES

In this section, we briefly recall the basic notion of parabolic bundles and the natural line bundles on their moduli spaces.

Let C, \mathbf{p} be as in Section 3.1. Let E be a vector bundles on C . A *quasi-parabolic structure* on E at a point $p \in \mathbf{p}$ is a strictly decreasing flag

$$E_p = F^1 E_p \supset F^2 E_p \cdots \supset \cdots \supset F^{k_p} E_p \supset F^{k_p+1} E_p = 0.$$

of linear sub-spaces in E_p . The above integer k_p is the length of the flag at p , and the tuple

$$(r_1(F^\bullet E_p), \cdots, r_{k_p}(F^\bullet E_p))$$

records the jumps in the dimension of the subspaces and is defined by

$$r_j(F^\bullet E_p) := \dim F^j E_p - \dim F^{j+1} E_p.$$

A *parabolic structure* on E at p is a quasi-parabolic structure as above together with a sequence of rational numbers

$$0 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \cdots < \alpha_{k_p} < 1$$

known as the *weights*. A parabolic bundle $(E, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{r})$ on C with parabolic divisor \mathbf{p} is a vector bundle E on C along with parabolic structure over the points in \mathbf{p} . Using the weights $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$, the *parabolic degree* of E is defined to be

$$\text{pdeg}(E) := \deg(E) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k_{p_i}} r_j(F^\bullet(E_{p_i}))\alpha_j(F^\bullet(E_{p_i})).$$

Stable and semistable parabolic bundles are defined using the parabolic degree (see [44]). Mehta and Seshadri constructed the moduli space $M_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\text{par}}$ of semistable parabolic bundles [44]. We will drop the weights from the notation when they are clear from the context.

We now discuss some natural ample line bundles on $M_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\text{par}}$, following [20]. Let $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ be a fixed set of weights for fixed flag type \mathbf{r} , and let $(E, \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \mathbf{r})$ be a parabolic bundle on (C, \mathbf{p}) ; define the parabolic Euler characteristic

$$\chi_{\mathbf{p}}(E) := \chi(E) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k_{p_i}} r_j(F^\bullet(E_{p_i}))\alpha_j(F^\bullet(E_{p_i})).$$

Let \mathcal{E} be a family of parabolic bundles on C , parametrized by a scheme T , of rank r , weight $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ and flag type \mathbf{r} . For each point p_i , we have a string of rational numbers

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{p_i} = (0 \leq \alpha_1(p_i) < \cdots < \alpha_j(p_i) < \cdots < \alpha_{k_{p_i}}(p_i) < 1)$$

which are the parabolic weights. Observe that the parabolic Euler characteristic $\chi_{\mathbf{p}}$ remains constant in a connected family.

Let ℓ be the least common multiple of all denominators of all the rational numbers appearing in $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$.

Definition 4.1. The *parabolic determinant bundle of level ℓ* on $M_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\text{par}}$ is the element of the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}(T)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by

$$(4.1) \quad \text{ParDet}(\mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \text{Det}(\mathcal{E})^{\ell} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^n \left(\bigotimes_{j=1}^{k_{p_i}} \det \text{Gr}^j \mathcal{F}_{\bullet, p_i}(\mathcal{E}_{|T \times p_i}) \right)^{\ell \cdot \alpha_j(p_i)} \right) \otimes \left(\det \mathcal{E}_{|T \times p_0} \right)^{\frac{\ell \cdot \chi_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathcal{E})}{r}},$$

where p_0 is a fixed point of C .

Let $M_{\text{SL}_r, \boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, \text{ss}}$ be the moduli space of semistable parabolic SL_r bundles or equivalently parabolic bundles with trivialized determinant. Then $\text{ParDet}(\mathcal{E}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ descends to a line bundle on $M_{\text{SL}_r, \boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, \text{ss}}$, which will be denoted by $\text{Det}_{\text{par}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.

4.1. Parabolic G bundles. We shall follow the notation in [19, App. A] and refer the reader there for more details. Consider the fundamental alcove Φ_0 , and let $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n)$ be a choice of n -tuple of weights in Φ_0 which will be referred to as *parabolic weights*.

Definition 4.2. Let G be a complex connected reductive group. A parabolic structure on a principal G -bundle $E \rightarrow C$ with parabolic structures at the points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \cdots, p_n)$ is a choice of parabolic weights $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ along with a section σ_i of the homogeneous space $E_{p_i}/P(\tau_i)$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $P(\tau_i)$ is the standard parabolic associated to τ_i . Throughout this paper we will assume that $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau_i) < 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

We observe that when $G = \mathrm{GL}_r$, the associated bundle constructed via the standard representation of GL_r recovers the notion of parabolic bundles and parabolic weights as in the beginning of the present section. The notions of stability and semistability for parabolic G -bundles appear in the work of Bhosle-Ramanathan [16]; for $G = \mathrm{GL}_r$ they coincide with the notions of stable and semistable parabolic vector bundles.

Let τ be an n -tuple of parabolic weights in the interior of the Weyl alcove of G . The corresponding moduli space $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},ss}$ (respectively, $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},s}$) of semistable (respectively, stable) parabolic G -bundles was constructed in [4]. These moduli spaces are normal irreducible quasi projective varieties. The smooth locus of $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},ss}$ is denoted by $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},rs}$ and it parametrizes regularly stable parabolic bundles [18] or equivalently stable parabolic bundles with minimal automorphisms.

Let $\iota : G \rightarrow G'$ be an embedding of connected semisimple groups. This homomorphism ι produces a map $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},ss} \rightarrow M_{G',\tau'}^{\mathrm{par},ss}$ which is a finite morphism. The weights τ' and τ are related by ι . This plays a key role in construction of the moduli spaces. In fact, choosing an appropriate representation of the group G , one can reduce the question of construction to the corresponding question on parabolic vector bundles.

Remark 4.3. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a family of pointed smooth curves. We will denote the corresponding semistable and regularly stable moduli spaces also by $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},ss}$ and $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},rs}$ respectively. When there is a scope of confusion, for any n -pointed smooth curve (C, \mathbf{p}) , we will use the notation $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},ss}(C, \mathbf{p})$ and $M_{G,\tau}^{\mathrm{par},rs}(C, \mathbf{p})$ respectively.

4.2. Parabolic bundles as equivariant bundles. We now discuss parabolic bundles from the point of view of equivariant bundles; we refer the reader to [5], [17], [59], and [19, App. B] for more details. This was used in [19] to construct a Hitchin type connection for parabolic bundles and it will be crucial here as well.

Definition 4.4. Let $p : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ be a Galois cover of curves with Galois group Γ . A (Γ, G) -bundle is a principal G -bundle \widehat{E} on \widehat{C} together with a lift of the action of Γ on \widehat{E} as bundle automorphism that commutes with the action of G on \widehat{E} .

Assume that the map $p : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ is ramified over $p_i \in C$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. let $\Gamma_{q_i} \subset \Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(p)$ be the isotropy subgroup for some q_i over p_i . A (Γ, G) -bundle on a formal disc around q_i is uniquely determined by the conjugacy class of a homomorphism $\rho_i : \Gamma_{q_i} \rightarrow G$ given by the action of Γ_{q_i} on the fiber of the principal G -bundle over the point q_i (see [5, 65]). Fix a generator γ_i of the cyclic group Γ_{q_i} . Now consider a string of parabolic weights $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ such that $\rho_i(\gamma_i)$ is conjugate to τ_i for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. We will refer to this τ as the local type of a (Γ, G) -bundle.

The notions of stability and semistability for (Γ, G) -bundles are similar to those for the usual principal G -bundles; more precisely, the inequality is checked only for the Γ equivariant reductions of the structure group to a parabolic subgroup of G ([4, 53]). Let $M_G^{\tau,ss}$ (respectively, $M_G^{\tau,s}$) denote the moduli spaces of semistable (respectively, stable) (Γ, G) bundles of local type τ .

Given a string of parabolic weights $\tau = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$, choose a minimal integer ℓ such that $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\ell \cdot \nu_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau_i))) = 1$. Then by [48, 58], we can find a ramified Galois cover $p : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ with ramification exactly over n -points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ whose isotropy at any ramification point is a cyclic group of order ℓ . From now on we will restrict ourselves only to such Galois covers. The following theorem is due to [4, 5, 17].

Theorem 4.5. *Consider the moduli stack $\mathrm{Bun}_{\Gamma,G}^{\tau}(\widehat{C})$ of (Γ, G) -bundles of fixed local type τ . The invariant direct image functor identifies the stack $\mathrm{Bun}_{\Gamma,G}^{\tau}(\widehat{C})$ with the moduli stack*

$\mathcal{P}ar_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ of quasiparabolic bundles of flag type $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Moreover, the invariant push-forward functor also induces an isomorphism between the moduli spaces $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}$ (respectively, $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, s}$) and $M_G^{\boldsymbol{\tau}, ss}$ (respectively, $M_G^{\boldsymbol{\tau}, s}$).

4.3. Parabolic determinants as equivariant determinants. Consider the moduli space $M_G^{\boldsymbol{\tau}, ss}$ of (Γ, G) bundles associated to a Galois cover $p : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ with Galois group Γ . Let \widehat{M}_G be the moduli space of semistable principal G -bundles on the curve \widehat{C} . There is a natural forgetful map $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss} \rightarrow \widehat{M}_G$ the simply forgets the action of Γ .

Given a representation $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_r$, consider the associated morphism $\bar{\phi} : \widehat{M}_G \rightarrow \widehat{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}$ between the corresponding moduli spaces. Let \mathcal{L} be the determinant of cohomology line bundle on $\widehat{M}_{\mathrm{SL}_r}$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi := \bar{\phi}^* \mathcal{L}$$

be its pullback to \widehat{M}_G . If $G = \mathrm{SL}_r$, then ϕ can be taken to be the standard representation. Now Theorem 4.5 realizes the moduli space $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}$ of parabolic bundles as a moduli space $M_G^{\boldsymbol{\tau}, ss}$ of (Γ, G) -bundles on \widehat{C} , which maps further into \widehat{M}_G for forgetting the action of Γ . Thus using the identification between $M_G^{\boldsymbol{\tau}, ss}$ and $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}$, we get a natural line bundle \mathcal{L}_ϕ on $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}$.

On the other hand, using the parabolic determinant of cohomology, one can construct natural line bundles on $M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, ss}$ as follows:

Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ be a string of parabolic weights such that $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau_i) < 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Take a faithful representation (ϕ, V) of the group G satisfying the following condition:

- The local type $\phi(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = (\phi(\tau_1), \dots, \phi(\tau_n))$ is rational, and $\theta_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}(\phi(\tau_i)) < 1$.

Let ℓ be an integer such that $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\ell \cdot \nu_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}(\phi(\tau_i))) = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Here, $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\theta_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}$ are the highest roots of the Lie algebras \mathfrak{g} and $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ respectively. We now recall the definition of the parabolic determinant of cohomology for G -bundles.

Definition 4.6. Let \mathcal{E} be a family of parabolic G -bundles on a curve C with n -marked points, and let $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(V)$ be a faithful representation. Then the parabolic G -determinant bundle $\mathrm{Det}_{par, \phi}(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ with weight $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ is defined to be the line bundle $\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\nu_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}(\phi(\boldsymbol{\tau})))$.

The following is recalled from [20].

Proposition 4.7. *Let ℓ be the order of the stabilizer at each ramification point of the Galois cover $p : \widehat{C} \rightarrow C$ with Galois group Γ , then under the isomorphism in Theorem 4.5, the parabolic determinant of cohomology is related to \mathcal{L}_ϕ by the formula*

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi \cong (\mathrm{Det}_{par, \phi}(\boldsymbol{\tau}))^{\frac{|\Gamma|}{\ell}},$$

where the Γ cover \widehat{C} is determined by the parabolic weight data $\nu_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}(\phi(\boldsymbol{\tau}))$.

5. TDOS, HEAT OPERATORS AND THE CANONICAL CLASS

Let us first recall the definition of a sheaf of twisted differential operators.

Definition 5.1. Let M be a smooth variety. A sheaf of *twisted differential operators* or *TDO* (for short) is a sheaf of associative \mathcal{O}_M -algebras \mathcal{D} with a filtration $\{\mathcal{D}^{\leq i}\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ parametrized by nonnegative integers, such that the following three hold:

- there is a homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ whose image is the center,
- the graded algebra $\mathrm{gr} \mathcal{D} = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} (\mathcal{D}^{\leq i} / \mathcal{D}^{\leq i-1})$ is commutative, and

- there is an isomorphism of the graded Poisson algebras between $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}$ and the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}^* \mathcal{T}_M$.

The degree one part of the filtration of a TDO gives an \mathcal{O}_M -Atiyah algebra with the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\leq 1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Conversely, given an \mathcal{O}_M -Atiyah algebra \mathcal{A} , we have the filtered algebra obtained by first considering the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ and then quotienting it by the following relations:

- $1_{\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{A})} = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$, and
- $f \otimes a = f \cdot a$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_M$ and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

The following proposition is recalled from [15].

Proposition 5.2. *The two functors defined above, between the twisted differential operators and the \mathcal{O}_M -Atiyah algebras, are inverses of each other. The TDOs on a smooth variety are classified by the first hypercohomology group of the complex $\Omega^{\geq 1} := (\Omega^1 \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{cl}^2)$, where Ω^i , $i = 1, 2$, is at the $(i - 1)$ -th position.*

Let $\pi : M \longrightarrow S$ be a smooth map of smooth varieties, and let $K_{M/S}$ be the relative canonical bundle on M . Let $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 1}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$ (respectively, $\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 1}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$) be the Atiyah (respectively, relative Atiyah) algebra given by the fundamental exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2}\text{-id}} \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 1}(K_{M/S}^{1/2}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Although the relative canonical bundle $K_{M/S}$ may not admit a square-root in general, we can still talk about the Atiyah algebra consisting of differential operators of order at most one on $K_{M/S}^{1/2}$. Applying Proposition 5.2, it follows that the Atiyah algebra $\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 1}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$ determines a sheaf of twisted differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{M/S}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$. The differential operators of order at most two on $K_{M/S}^{1/2}$ are the degree two part $\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$ of the filtration. By definition, we have the following fundamental exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 1}(K_{M/S}^{1/2}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\text{symp}} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow 0.$$

As in [69], quotienting the first two terms in (5.1) by \mathcal{O}_M we get the short exact sequence

$$(5.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 1}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})/\mathcal{O}_M \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})/\mathcal{O}_M \xrightarrow{\text{symp}} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Pushing (5.2) forward by π , we get the connecting homomorphism in the long exact sequence of direct images

$$(5.3) \quad \mu_{K_{M/S}^{1/2}} : \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{T}_{M/S}.$$

Now a formula of Welters (cf. [3, 70]) gives that $\mu_{K_{M/S}^{1/2}} = 0$. Thus we get the following:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\pi : M \longrightarrow S$ be a family of smooth varieties such that the fibers admit no nonzero holomorphic vector fields. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})/\mathcal{O}_M \right) \cong \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S}.$$

Proof. Consider the long exact sequence for the direct image of (5.2) by π . It and the fact that $\mu_{K_{M/S}^{1/2}} = 0$ together give the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_* \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow \pi_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})/\mathcal{O}_M \right) \longrightarrow \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since the given condition implies that $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{M/S} = 0$, the proposition follows. \square

Proposition 5.3 can be reinterpreted as follows: Given a candidate homomorphism $\rho : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$, we can find a canonical projective degree two differential operator \overline{D} whose symbol coincides with ρ . In other words, there is the following commutative diagram:

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})/\mathcal{O}_M \right) & \\ & \nearrow & \cong \downarrow \text{symbol} \\ \mathcal{T}_S & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S}. \end{array}$$

We conclude this section with the following.

Remark 5.4. The relative canonical bundle $K_{M/S}$ may not admit a square-root, however the sheaves of twisted differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq i}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\leq i}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})$ are well defined. Moreover, given a candidate symbol ρ there is a natural lift of it to a section of $\pi_* \left(\mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(K_{M/S}^{1/2})/\mathcal{O}_M \right)$.

5.1. Heat operators and the Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong equation. Let $\pi : M \rightarrow S$ be a smooth map of smooth varieties, and let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on M . Following [69], consider the sheaf $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$ defined by

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}) := \mathcal{D}_M^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}) + \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{L}).$$

There is a natural short exact sequence

$$(5.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{M/S}^{\leq 1}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{q_0} \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S \oplus \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S} \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 5.5. A *heat operator* $\mathbb{D} : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})$ is a section of the natural projection map $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S$. A *projective heat operator* is a section of $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})/\mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S$.

A projective heat operator evidently lifts, locally, to a heat operator.

Let $q_1 : \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})/\mathcal{O}_M \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$ be the symbol map constructed using q_0 in (5.6) and the natural projection of $\pi^*\mathcal{T}_S \oplus \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$ to $\text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$. Given a homomorphism $\rho : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$, one can ask whether there is a canonical *projective heat operator* $\mathbb{D} : \pi^*\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{L})/\mathcal{O}_M$ such that $q_1 \circ \mathbb{D} = \rho$. The following theorems of Hitchin [33] and van Geemen-de Jong [69], answer this question.

Theorem 5.6 ([33, 69]). *Assume that the following conditions hold:*

- $KS_{M/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}} \circ \rho = 0$.
- $\text{Coker} \left(\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{M/S} \xrightarrow{\cup[\mathcal{L}]} R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_M \right)$ is zero.
- $\pi_*\mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_S$.

Then there exists a unique projective heat operator $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ lifting any candidate symbol $\rho : \pi^\mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$.*

Remark 5.7. We can take \mathcal{L} to be an object in the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. All the sheaves that appear in the statement of Theorem 5.6 are well-defined and the proof of Theorem 5.6 in van Geemen-de Jong [69] does not require the assumption that \mathcal{L} be a line bundle.

5.2. Heat operators and metaplectic quantization. Let $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow M$ be a line bundle. We are interested in the case where the Kodaira-Spencer infinitesimal deformation map $KS_{M/S}$, the candidate symbol $\rho : \mathcal{T}_S \rightarrow \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S}$ and the class of \mathcal{L} are intertwined by the equation:

$$(5.7) \quad KS_{M/S} + \cup[\mathcal{L}] \circ \rho = 0.$$

We refer to (5.7) as the equation *controlling the deformations*. Recall that the connecting homomorphism

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}} : \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{T}_{M/S}$$

is given by the formula

$$(5.8) \quad \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}} = \cup \left([k \cdot \mathcal{L}] - \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right)$$

(see [3]).

5.2.1. Rewriting the deformation equation. We will now rewrite (5.7) to produce a projective heat operator on \mathcal{L} . Throughout this subsection we assume that for any $k \in \mathbb{Q}$, the connecting homomorphism $\mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}}$ in (5.8) is an isomorphism.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} KS_{M/S} + \cup[\mathcal{L}] \circ \rho &= KS_{M/S} + \frac{1}{k} \left(\cup \left(k[\mathcal{L}] - \frac{1}{2} K_{M/S} \right) + \cup \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right) \circ \rho \\ &= KS_{M/S} + \frac{1}{k} \left(\mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}} + \cup \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right) \circ \rho \\ &= KS_{M/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}} \circ \left(1 + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}}^{-1} \circ \left(\cup \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right) \right) \circ \frac{1}{k} \rho \\ &= KS_{M/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}} \circ \tilde{\rho}_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_k = \left(1 + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}}^{-1} \circ \left(\cup \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right) \right) \circ \frac{1}{k} \rho$ is the symbol map. Again assuming that the condition of Theorem 5.6 are satisfied we get a projective heat operator $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ on \mathcal{L} with symbol $\tilde{\rho}_k$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \pi_* \mathcal{D}_M^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}) & \\ & \uparrow \text{symp} & \\ \mathcal{T}_S & \xrightarrow{\rho_k} & \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M/S} \end{array}$$

This induces a projective connection on $\pi_* \mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.2.2. Metaplectic correction d'apres Scheinost-Schottenloher. We can rewrite the left-hand side of (5.7) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} KS_{M/S} + \cup[\mathcal{L}] \circ \rho &= KS_{M/S} + \frac{1}{k} \left(\cup \left([k \cdot \mathcal{L}] + \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right) - \cup \frac{1}{2} [K_{M/S}] \right) \circ \rho \\ &= KS_{M/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes K_{M/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \circ \frac{1}{k} \rho \\ &= KS_{M/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes K_{M/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \circ \rho_k, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_k := \frac{1}{k} \rho$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes K_{M/S}^{1/2}$ is considered as an element of the rational Picard group. Thus, from (5.7) the following equation is obtained:

$$(5.10) \quad KS_{M/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes K_{M/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \circ \rho_k = 0.$$

Assume that the following conditions of the Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong existence theorem are satisfied:

- $\cup(k[\mathcal{L}] + \frac{1}{2}[K_{M/S}]) : \pi_*\mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_M$ is an isomorphism, and
- $\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{M/S} = 0$.

Then Theorem 5.6 tells us that there exists a unique projective heat operator $\widehat{\mathbb{D}}_k$ with symbol ρ_k . As pointed out in Remark 5.7, the projective heat operator makes sense even if the square-root of $K_{M/S}$ does not exist.

Now by applying Proposition 5.3, we find that for any candidate symbol, there exists a second order projective operator $\widehat{\mathbb{D}}$ on $K^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with the same given symbol. However, this operator is not a projective heat operator, since the natural projection of it to $\pi^*\mathcal{T}_S$ is zero.

On the other hand, we have a projective heat operator $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ on $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k}$ with the same symbol $\tilde{\rho}_k$. The following is then a natural question.

Question 5.8. Using the projective heat operator $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and the projective operator $\widehat{\mathbb{D}}$ with symbol ρ_k , can we construct a projective heat operator $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$ on $\mathcal{L}^k \otimes K_{M/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$?

Remark 5.9. Observe that the equations in Theorem 5.6 imply that there exists at most one heat operator provided

$$\mu_{\mathcal{L}^{\otimes k} \otimes K_{M/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} : \pi_*\text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M/S} \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*\mathcal{T}_{M/S}$$

is an isomorphism. A positive answer to Question 5.8 would immediately imply that the symbol of $\widetilde{\mathbb{D}}$ satisfies the equation for ρ_k given in (5.10). This will provide a necessary relation that the linear maps $\cup[\mathcal{L}]$ and $\cup[K_{M/S}]$ are scalar multiples of each other.

6. PARABOLIC HITCHIN CONNECTION IS THE TUY/WZW CONNECTION

In this section we first recall briefly the construction of the Hitchin connection for the moduli space of parabolic bundles $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$ obtained in [19]. In particular we focus on the parabolic Hitchin symbol defined in [19]. Using restriction to fibers of the Hitchin map, we give a simplification of the expression for the symbol that enables us to compare the parabolic Hitchin symbol with the symbol of the Sugawara operators as constructed in [68].

6.1. The Hitchin symbol. First we recall the Hitchin symbol that the authors considered in [19] to construct projective heat operators. In [19], we identified the moduli space $M_{G,\tau}^{par}$ of parabolic bundles with the moduli space $M_G^{\tau,ss}$ of (Γ, G) -bundles on a Galois cover of the curve C via the invariant pushforward functor [4, 5].

This includes the following identifications: Let \mathcal{P} be a regularly stable parabolic bundle and let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}$ be the corresponding (Γ, G) bundle. Then

$$(6.1) \quad H^0(C, \text{Spar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D)) \cong H^0(\widehat{C}, \text{ad } \mathcal{P} \otimes K_{\widehat{C}})^\Gamma$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P})) \cong H^1(\widehat{C}, \text{ad } \mathcal{P})^\Gamma.$$

The Hitchin symbol was defined using the natural map

$$(6.3) \quad H^0(\widehat{C}, \text{ad } \mathcal{P} \otimes K_{\widehat{C}})^\Gamma \otimes H^1(\widehat{C}, \text{ad } \mathcal{P})^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\kappa_g} H^1(\widehat{C}, K_{\widehat{C}})^\Gamma \cong \mathbb{C};$$

the last isomorphism is given by the Serre duality on \widehat{C} . This induces a natural map

$$(6.4) \quad \rho_{sym} : R^1\pi_{s*}\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) \longrightarrow \pi_{e*}\text{Sym}^2\mathcal{T}_{M_G^{\tau,rs}/S},$$

where $\pi_e : M_G^{T,rs} \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_s : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ are the natural projections.

On the other hand we also have the natural pairing

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{array}{c} H^0(C, \text{Spar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D)) \otimes H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P})) \\ \downarrow \\ H^1(C, \text{Spar}(\mathcal{P})(D) \otimes \text{Par}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C) \xrightarrow{\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}} H^1(C, K_C) \cong \mathbb{C}. \end{array}$$

This also induces a map

$$(6.6) \quad \tilde{\rho}_{sym} : R^1 \pi_{s*} \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) \rightarrow \pi_{e*} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{J}_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}.$$

The isomorphism $H^1(C, K_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$ in (6.5) and the isomorphism $H^1(\widehat{C}, K_{\widehat{C}}) \cong \mathbb{C}$ are both given by the residue theorem and Serre duality but for different curves. Hence, the map on Hitchin symbols ρ_{sym} and $\tilde{\rho}_{sym}$ do not commute under the identifications given by (6.1) and (6.2). However they are related by the scalar multiplication by the order of Γ as follows:

Lemma 6.1. *Let ρ_{sym} and $\tilde{\rho}_{sym}$ be as above. Then $|\Gamma| \cdot \rho_{sym} = \tilde{\rho}_{sym}$.*

6.2. Parabolic Hitchin connection via heat operators. Let $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{SL}(V)$ be a linear representation satisfying the hypothesis of Section 4.3. Let \mathcal{L}_ϕ be the pullback of the determinant of cohomology line bundle to $M_G^{T,ss}$. Via the identification of parabolic G -bundles as equivariant bundles and Proposition 4.7, we have identified it with the parabolic determinant of cohomology $\text{Det}_{par}(\nu_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}(\phi(\tau)))$. For notational convenience, we will drop ϕ while denoting the line bundle $\text{Det}_{par,\phi}(\mathcal{P}, \tau)$ and denote it simply by $\text{Det}_{par}(\mathcal{P}, \tau)$.

In [19], the authors produced a projective heat operator on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\phi^{\otimes k}$ whose symbol satisfies the following Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong equation:

$$(6.7) \quad KS_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}_\phi^k} \circ \left(\frac{1}{m_\phi k} \text{Id} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}_\phi^{-1}} \circ \left(\cup \frac{1}{2m_\phi k} [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] \right) \right) \circ \rho_{sym} \circ KS_{\mathcal{C}/S} = 0.$$

Setting $\rho = \rho_{sym} \circ KS_{\mathcal{C}/S}$ gives

$$(6.8) \quad KS_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}_\phi^k} \circ \left(\text{Id} + \mu_{\mathcal{L}_\phi^{-1}} \circ \left(\cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] \right) \right) \circ \frac{1}{m_\phi \cdot k} \rho = 0,$$

where k is a rational number.

Let $k = \ell/|\Gamma|$. Using the identification $\mathcal{L}_\phi \cong (\text{Det}_{par}(\mathcal{P}, \tau))^{\frac{|\Gamma|}{\ell}}$ in Proposition 4.7, from (6.8) we get that

$$KS_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S} + \mu_{\text{Det}_{par}(\mathcal{P}, \tau) \otimes K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,ss}/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \circ \frac{|\Gamma|}{m_\phi \cdot \ell} \rho = 0.$$

Definition 6.2. For any rational number a , we will denote the projective heat operator on $\text{Det}_{par,\phi}^{\otimes a}(\mathcal{P}, \tau)$ obtained in [19] satisfying a Hitchin-van Geemen-de Jong type equation (6.8) by $\mathbb{D}(\mathfrak{g}, a, m_\phi \cdot \ell)$.

The following will be proved in Section 9.

Theorem 6.3. *Let \mathbb{L} be an element of $\text{Pic}(M_{\text{SL}_r,\alpha}^{par,rs}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of level a . Then there is an equality $\cup[\mathbb{L}] = \cup a[\text{Det}]$ as linear maps $\pi_{e*} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{J}_{M_{\text{SL}_r,\alpha}^{par,s}/S} \rightarrow R^1 \pi_{e*} \mathcal{J}_{M_{\text{SL}_r,\alpha}^{par,s}/S}$, where Det is the determinant of cohomology (non-parabolic) line bundle.*

Remark 6.4. The above result should be put in the more general context of deformation theory of the moduli space of the parabolic bundles as studied in Boden-Yokogawa [22], and the birational variation of these moduli spaces as the weights vary.

Since line bundles on $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$ are pull-backs of rational powers of line bundles from $M_{\mathrm{SL}(V),\alpha}^{par,s}$ for appropriate choice of a representation (ϕ, V) of G , the following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.3.

Corollary 6.5. *Let \widehat{M}_G and $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$ be as in Section 4.3. Let (ϕ, V) be a representation of G . Then the line bundle $K_{\widehat{M}_G/S}$ restricted to $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$ is of level $-\frac{2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot |\Gamma|}{m_\phi}$ with respect to $\mathrm{SL}(V)$, and hence*

$$\cup[K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] = \cup \frac{[K_{\widehat{M}_G/S}]}{|\Gamma|}$$

as linear maps from $\pi_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{J}_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}$ to $R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{J}_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}$.

Corollary 6.5 simplifies the parabolic Hitchin symbol, as shown by the following proposition.

Proposition 6.6. *Assume that $m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$. Then*

$$(6.9) \quad \frac{1}{m_\phi \ell} \left(1 + \mu_{\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)}^{-1} \circ \left(\frac{1}{2} \cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] \right) \right) \circ \tilde{\rho}_{sym} = \frac{\tilde{\rho}_{sym}}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}.$$

Proof. Since $\tilde{\rho}_{sym}$ is invertible, we need to show that

$$\frac{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}{m_\phi \cdot \ell} \left(1 + \mu_{\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)}^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] \right) \right) = \mathrm{Id}.$$

So it suffices to prove that

$$\mu_{\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)}^{-1} \circ \left(\frac{1}{2} \cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] \right) = \left(-1 + \frac{m_\phi \cdot \ell}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})} \right) \mathrm{Id}.$$

By multiplying with $\mu_{\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)}$, it suffices to show that

$$(6.10) \quad \cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] = \left(\frac{-2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})} \right) \mu_{\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)}.$$

Now by [38, 39], applied to the moduli space \widehat{M}_G of principal G bundle on \widehat{C} , we get that

$$(6.11) \quad [K_{\widehat{M}_G}] = -2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot m_\phi \cdot [\mathcal{L}_\phi].$$

By Corollary 6.5 we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] &= \cup \frac{-2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})[\mathcal{L}_\phi]}{m_\phi \cdot |\Gamma|} \\ &= \cup \frac{-2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}{m_\phi \cdot |\Gamma|} \left(\frac{|\Gamma|[\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)]}{\ell} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Rewriting the above equation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \cup m_\phi \cdot \ell [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] &= \cup \left(\frac{-2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}) \cdot m_\phi \cdot \ell}{|\Gamma| \cdot m_\phi} \right) \frac{|\Gamma|[\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)]}{\ell}, \\ \cup \frac{m_\phi \cdot \ell}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})} [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par}/S}] &= \left(\cup \frac{1}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})} (-2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})[\mathrm{Det}_{par}]) \right), \\ \cup [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] &= \left(\cup \frac{-2h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})} \left([\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)] - \frac{1}{2} [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}] \right) \right), \\ \cup \frac{1}{2} [K_{M_{G,\tau}^{par}/S}] &= \left(\frac{-h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})}{m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})} \right) \cdot \mu_{\mathrm{Det}_{par}(\tau)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

7. UNIFORMIZATION OF MODULI SPACES AND CONFORMAL BLOCKS

In this section, following the work of Belkale-Fakhruddin [11], Laszlo [40], Laszlo-Sorger [41], we discuss the universal isomorphism between the sections of the parabolic determinant of cohomology bundle and the spaces of conformal blocks. If (C, \vec{p}) is a fixed smooth n -pointed curve, this identification is due to Beauville-Laszlo [7] ($G = \mathrm{SL}_r$ and $n = 0$), Faltings [27], Kumar-Narasimhan-Ramanathan [38] (for $n = 0$), Pauly [51] (for $G = \mathrm{SL}_r$) and Laszlo-Sorger [41]. The result has been extended to nodal curves by Belkale-Fakhruddin [11]. All of the results use a key uniformization theorem of Harder [32] and Drinfeld-Simpson [24] in the smooth case and its generalization in [11, 12] for the nodal case. We mostly follow the discussion in [11, Sec. 6].

7.1. The line bundle on the universal moduli stack. Consider the moduli stack $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ parametrizing smooth n -pointed curves on C . Recall from Section 4.1 that given a tuple $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ on the fundamental Weyl alcove Φ of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , we have the moduli stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ of quasi-parabolic G bundles of type $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ on a smooth curve C . This construction for families of smooth n -pointed curves gave relative moduli stacks $\pi_e : \mathcal{P}ar_G(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ such that for any smooth curve (C, \vec{p}) we have $\pi_e^{-1}(C, \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{P}ar_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$. Throughout our discussions, it is assumed that $\theta_{\mathfrak{g}}(\tau_i) < 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Following [11] and [40], we construct a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}ar_G(\boldsymbol{\tau})$, such that $\pi_* \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}} = \mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$, where $\vec{\lambda}$ and ℓ are related to $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ by the exponential map. The construction in [11] extends to the stable nodal curves.

7.1.1. The relative affine flag varieties. Let $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a family of smooth n -pointed curves, and let $S = \mathrm{Spec} R$. Consider the affine curve $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C} - \sqcup_{i=1}^n p_i(S)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_A = \mathcal{C} \times_R \mathrm{Spec}(A)$ for an R algebra A and similarly define \mathcal{C}'_A . Let $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_A$ denote the completion of \mathcal{C}_A along the sections \mathbf{p} . The sections \mathbf{p} induce sections of $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}_A$, and $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}'_A$ denotes its complement.

Consider the following:

- (1) $L_{\mathcal{C}', G}(A) = \mathrm{Mor}_k(\mathcal{C}'_A, G)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}(A) = G(\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{C}}'_A, \mathcal{O}))$.

Each τ_i determines a parabolic subgroup $P(\tau_i) \subset G$, and we consider the standard parabolic subgroup $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ given by the inverse image of $\prod_{i=1}^n P(\tau_i)$ under the natural evaluation map $L_G(A) \rightarrow G^n$.

Proposition 6.3 of [11] shows that the R group $L_{\mathcal{C}', G}$ is relatively ind-affine and formally smooth with connected integral geometric fibers over $\mathrm{Spec}(A)$. Observe that if $n = 1$ and $\lambda = 0$, and if t is a formal coordinate at the marked point p_1 , then $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$ gets identified with the loop group L_G and $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ is the group of positive loops L_G^+ .

7.1.2. The central extension. Faltings ([27], and also [7, Lemma 8.3], [41]) constructed a projective representation of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$ on $\mathbb{H}_{\vec{\lambda}} = R \otimes (\otimes \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_i}(\mathfrak{g}, \ell))$ whose derivative coincides with the natural projective action of the Lie algebra of $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}$. This gives us a central extension

$$(7.1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1.$$

The extension $\widehat{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}}$ splits over $\mathcal{P}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ (see [62, Lemma 7.3.5]), and the central extension $\widehat{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}}$ is independent of the chosen representations $\vec{\lambda}$. Moreover the extension (7.1) splits over $L_{\mathcal{C}', G}$ ([61], [11, Lemma 6.5]).

7.2. The relative uniformization and parabolic theta functions. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\tau := \mathcal{P}_\tau \times \mathbb{G}_m$. The weight vectors $\vec{\lambda}$ give natural characters on $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\tau$ and the product of characters induces a line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\tau := \widehat{\mathcal{L}\mathcal{G}}/\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_\tau.$$

Moreover, from the uniformization theorems [11, 7, 24, 32], it follows that the quotient of \mathcal{Q}_τ by $L_{e',G}$ is isomorphic to the pullback $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\tau)_S$ of the stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\tau)$ to S . Now since the extension in (7.1) splits over $L_{e',G}$, the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ descends to a line bundle over the stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\tau)$ which we will also denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$. Observe that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ is trivialized along the trivial section of $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\tau)$ over S , and such data determine the line bundle up to canonical isomorphism. We will refer to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ as the *Borel-Weil-Bott* line bundle.

7.2.1. Parabolic determinant as the Borel-Weil-Bott line bundle. We now compare the parabolic determinant of cohomology of the universal bundle with the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$.

Recall from Definition 4.6 the notion of the parabolic determinant $\text{Det}_{par,\phi}(\tau)$ of cohomology associated to a family of parabolic G bundles on $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ and a suitable representation $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{SL}(V)$. Now for the fixed n -pointed curve (C, \mathbf{p}) , it is known that the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\otimes m_\phi}$ and $\text{Det}_{par,\phi}(\tau)$ on $\mathcal{P}ar_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \tau)$ are isomorphic, where m_ϕ is the Dynkin index of the embedding ϕ . Since these line bundles are determined up to a normalizing factor, it follows that the corresponding projective bundles are identified as

$$(7.2) \quad \mathbb{P}\pi_{e*}(\text{Det}_{par,\phi}(\tau)) \cong \mathbb{P}\pi_{e*}(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}^{\otimes m_\phi}),$$

where $\pi_e : \mathcal{P}ar_G(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is the natural projection.

7.2.2. Parabolic theta functions and conformal blocks. For any choice of formal parameters, the ind-scheme \mathcal{Q}_τ can be identified with the product of affine flag varieties $\prod_{i=1}^n L_G/\mathcal{P}_{\tau_i}$ and the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ pulls back to the corresponding line bundle on L_G/\mathcal{P}_{τ_i} given by the character λ_i . Now by Kumar [37] and Mathieu [43], we get that

$$(7.3) \quad H^0(\mathcal{Q}_\tau, \mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}) = \mathbb{H}_{\vec{\lambda}}^*.$$

We end this discussion with the following theorem (see [11, Theorem 1.7] and [40, Sec. 5.7]) which we will refer to as the universal identification of the parabolic theta functions and the conformal blocks. In the case when S is a point, the result can be found in [7, 27, 38, 41].

Theorem 7.1. *The push-forward of $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ along the map $\pi_e : \mathcal{P}ar_G(\tau) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ can be identified canonically with the bundle of coordinate free conformal blocks $\mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$.*

Moreover, let $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$ denote the relative moduli space of regularly stable parabolic bundles of weight τ . Then $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ descends to a line bundle on $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$, and $(\pi_e|_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}})_*\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ provided the following conditions hold:

- The genus of the orbifold curve determined by τ is at least 2, if G is not SL_2 .
- The genus of the orbifold curve is at least 3, if G has a PSL_2 factor.

Remark 7.2. The last conditions ensures that for any smooth pointed curve (C, \mathbf{p}) , the codimension of the moduli space $M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}(C, \mathbf{p})$ in the moduli stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G(C, \mathbf{p}, \tau)$ is at least two. We refer the reader to [19, App. C].

8. SUGAWARA TENSOR AND THE PARABOLIC HITCHIN HEAT OPERATOR

Let $\mathcal{P}ar_G^{rs}(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ be the open substack parametrizing regularly stable parabolic bundles of parabolic type $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. Then the natural map $\mathcal{P}ar_G^{rs}(C, \mathbf{p}, \boldsymbol{\tau}) \rightarrow M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}(C, \mathbf{p})$ is a gerbe banded by the center $Z(G)$ of the group G .

Similarly, let $\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs}$ be the open ind-subscheme of $\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ parametrizing the regularly stable bundles. The natural map π^{reg} given by the composition

$$\pi^{reg} : \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}ar_G^{rs}(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \rightarrow M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}$$

is a $L_{e', G}/Z(G)$ torsor which is étale locally trivial. Here, $L_{e', G}$ is the loop group associated to a punctured curve.

8.1. Twisted \mathcal{D} -modules via quasi-section of Drinfeld-Simpson. Let $\pi_s : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S$ be a versal family of n -pointed smooth curves of genus g . We choose formal coordinates $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ along the sections \mathbf{p} .

Let $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ be as in Section 7.1, and let \mathcal{Q}_{τ_i} be the affine flag variety associated to τ_i . The above choice of coordinates gives an identification of $\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}$ with $\prod_{i=1}^n L_G/\mathcal{P}_{\tau_i}$. By the discussion in [8, Secs. 5.2.9-5.2.12], the infinitesimal action, of the central extension \widehat{L}_G of the loop group, on \mathcal{Q}_{τ_i} gives a map

$$\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp} \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_i}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_i}/S}(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}})).$$

Here $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\tau_i}/S}(\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_i})$ is the ring of relative \mathcal{L}_{λ_i} -twisted differential operators on \mathcal{Q}_{τ_i} , and $\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})$ is a suitable completion of the universal enveloping algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i}$.

Summing over all the coordinates, we get a map

$$(8.1) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}/S}(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}}))$$

which via further restriction gives a map $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp}) \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}/S}(\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}}))$. Both sides of (8.1) carry natural filtrations and the map in (8.1) is a map of filtered sheaves of algebras.

As in [40], we consider a quasi-section of π^{rs} . The result of [24] imply that the natural étale locally trivial torsor $\pi^{rs} : \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs} \rightarrow M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs}$ has a quasi-section $N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs} \xrightarrow{r} M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}$ such that r is an étale epimorphism and there is a map $\sigma : N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathcal{Q}_{\boldsymbol{\tau}}^{rs} \\ & \nearrow \sigma & \downarrow \pi^{rs} \\ N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs} & \xrightarrow{r} & M_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \pi_e \\ & & S \end{array}$$

Now since the map r is étale, we get an isomorphism

$$H^0(N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}, r^* \mathcal{T}_{N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}/S}) = H^0(N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}, \mathcal{T}_{N_{G, \boldsymbol{\tau}}^{par, rs}/S}).$$

Given any relative differential operator \mathfrak{D} on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$, we can pull it back via σ (see Section 8.1 and 8.7 in [40]) to a differential operator on the line bundle $\sigma^* \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ which, by an

abuse of notation, is again denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}$. Thus, (8.1) gives the following map of filtered sheaves of algebras

$$(8.3) \quad \hbar_{\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}} : \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp}) \longrightarrow H^0(N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}, \mathcal{D}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}})).$$

The sheaf of Lie algebras $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp})$ carries a natural PBW filtration and we let $(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp}))^{\leq m}$ be the m -th part of the filtration. Then the following diagram is commutative

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & H^0(N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}, \mathcal{D}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}^{\leq m}(\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}})) & \\ \hbar_{\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}}^{\leq m} \nearrow & & \searrow \text{symp}^{\leq m} \\ (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\xi_i})^{opp}))^{\leq m} & & H^0(N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}, \text{Sym}^m \mathcal{T}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}) \\ \hbar_{\mathcal{O}}^{\leq m} \searrow & & \nearrow \text{symp}^{\leq m} \\ & H^0(N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}, \mathcal{D}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}^{\leq m}(\mathcal{O}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}})) & \end{array}$$

where $\text{symp}^{\leq m}$ denotes the principal m -th order symbol map of a differential operator.

8.2. Projective heat operator from Sugawara. We now give a local description of the map $\hbar_{\mathcal{L}_{\vec{\lambda}}}$. Let \mathcal{P} be a regularly stable parabolic G -bundle in the moduli space of parabolic bundles of parabolic weights $\vec{\lambda}$ on a curve C with parabolic structure over \mathfrak{p} . We consider it as a point on $N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$. The tangent space at \mathcal{P} is given by $H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P}))$, where $\text{Par}(\mathcal{P})$ is the sheaf of Lie algebras given by parabolic automorphisms of the bundle \mathcal{P} .

Let $P_i \subset G$ be the parabolic subgroup determined by the weight λ_i attached to the point $p_i \in \mathfrak{p}$, and let \mathfrak{p}_i be the corresponding Lie algebra. We denote by \mathfrak{p}_i^- the opposite parabolic and by \mathfrak{n}_i^- the nilpotent radical of \mathfrak{p}_i^- . We have a short exact sequence of sheaves

$$(8.5) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \text{Par}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \text{Par}(\mathcal{P}) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i p_i \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \left(\mathfrak{n}_i^- \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{m_i} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \xi_i^j \right) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where m_1, \dots, m_n are nonnegative integers. Taking the long exact sequence of cohomologies associated to (8.5), we get a homomorphism

$$(8.6) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\mathfrak{n}_i^- \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi_i^{-1}]\xi_i^{-1}) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P})).$$

Combining this with the natural projection $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi_i)) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}_i^- \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi_i^{-1}]\xi_i^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get a homomorphism

$$(8.7) \quad \rho_i : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi_i)) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{n}_i^- \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[\xi_i^{-1}]\xi_i^{-1} \longrightarrow H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P})).$$

The composition of maps ρ_i in (8.7) is the local description of $\hbar_{\mathcal{O}}$ (defined in (8.3))

$$(8.8) \quad (\overline{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{p_i})^{opp})^{\oplus n} \longrightarrow H^0(N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}, \mathcal{D}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}(\mathcal{O})).$$

The operator $\mathcal{D}(\vec{\ell})$ defined in (3.12) gives a relative second order differential operator \mathcal{D} on $N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}$ which acts on the i -th factor by $(T[L_i])$ (see (3.4) and (8.7)). Thus we have the following

diagram

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S((\xi_i)) \frac{d}{d\xi_i} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{D}} & H^0(N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}, \mathcal{D}_{N_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{L}_\lambda)) \\ \downarrow \theta & & \\ H^0(S, T_S) & & \end{array}$$

We can realize \mathfrak{D} as a projective heat operator by taking a lift of a vector field on S to an element of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_S((\xi_i)) \frac{d}{d\xi_i}$. Now as described in the previous section, the difference between two lifts can be understood as a \mathcal{O}_S -module homomorphism a_ω . Thus the map \mathfrak{D} descends to a projective heat operator, and we will also denote the descended operator by \mathfrak{D} . In the rest of this section we show that the symbol of \mathfrak{D} is the Hitchin symbol $\tilde{\rho}_{sym}$, which will complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

8.3. The parabolic duality pairing and the Hitchin symbol. Recall that the Cartan-Killing form induces a nondegenerate bilinear form between the sheaves

$$\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}} : \text{Spar}(\mathcal{P})(D) \otimes \text{Par}(\mathcal{P}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_C.$$

Let D_{p_i} be a formal disc around each marked point p_i in C , and let $C^* = C \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ be the complement. Consider the following open covering:

$$C = C^* \cup (\sqcup_{i=1}^n D_{p_i}).$$

A section of $\text{Spar}(\mathcal{P})$ restricted to D_{p_i} consists of an element of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\xi_i]]$ whose image under the natural evaluation map

$$\text{ev}_{p_i} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[[\xi_i]] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is contained in the nilradical \mathfrak{n}_i of the parabolic subalgebra \mathfrak{p}_i . Similarly, $\text{Par}(\mathcal{P})$ consists of sections whose restriction to any formal disc D_{p_i} has the property that the image of the evaluation map is in \mathfrak{p}_i .

Let $\{\bar{P}_i\}$ be a Čech cocycle representative in $\prod_{i=1}^n (\text{Par}(\mathcal{P})(D_{p_i}^*))$ of a cohomology class of $H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P}))$ with respect to the covering $C = C^* \cup (\sqcup D_{p_i})$. Here we have $\bar{P}_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi_i))$, under a trivialization of \mathcal{P} restricted to D_{p_i} . Similarly we let $\{\phi_i d\xi_i\} \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi_i)) d\xi_i$ denote the restriction of an element of $H^0(C, \text{Spar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D))$ to $\sqcup D_{p_i}^*$.

The natural pairing in (6.5) takes the form

$$(8.10) \quad H^0(C, \text{Spar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D)) \otimes H^1(C, \text{Par}(\mathcal{P})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$(8.11) \quad \{\phi_i d\xi_i\} \times \{\bar{P}_i\} \longmapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi_i, \bar{P}_i) d\xi_i.$$

Now consider a Čech representative $\vec{\ell} = \{l_i\} \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{C}((\xi_i)) \frac{d}{d\xi_i}$ of a cohomology class in $H^1(C, T_C(-D))$. Let ϕ be a global section of the sheaf $\text{Spar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D)$. For each i , we have

$$l_i = \sum_{m=-m_i}^{\infty} l_{i,m} \xi_i^{m+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i},$$

and ϕ restricted to $D_{p_i}^*$ is of the form

$$\phi_i d\xi_i = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,m} \xi_i^{-m-1} d\xi_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi_i)) d\xi_i.$$

Since the diagram in (8.4) commutes, we can evaluate the symbol of \mathfrak{D} by computing the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \otimes \phi, \sum_{i=1}^n T[L_i] \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, \sum_{m=-m_i}^{\infty} l_{i,m} L_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=-m_i}^{\infty} l_{i,m} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, L_m \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{m=-m_i}^{\infty} l_{i,m} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} :J^a(k) J^a(n-k): \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $l_i = \xi_i^{n_i+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi \otimes \phi, \sum_{i=1}^n T[\xi_i^{n_i+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i}] \rangle &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, L_{n_i} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, :J^a(k) J^a(n_i - k): \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

If $n_i \neq 0$, then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, L_{n_i} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, J^a(k) J^a(n_i - k) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \langle \phi_i, J^a(k) \rangle d\xi_i \cdot \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \langle \phi_i, J^a(n_i - k) \rangle d\xi_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,m}, J^a) \xi_i^{k-m-1} d\xi_i \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,m}, J^a) \xi_i^{n_i-k-m-1} d\xi_i \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,k}, J^a) \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,n_i-k}, J^a) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,k}, X_{i,n_i-k}). \end{aligned}$$

The zero-th Virasoro operator L_0 can be rewritten with out normal ordering as follows:

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} J^a J^a + \frac{1}{(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} J^a(-k) J^a(k).$$

Thus we get the following:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, L_0 \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, J^a J^a \rangle + \frac{1}{(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, J^a(-k) J^a(k) \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,m}, J^a) \xi_i^{-m-1} d\xi_i \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \left(\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,m}, J^a) \xi_i^{-k-m-1} d\xi_i \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,m}, J^a) \xi_i^{k-m-1} d\xi_i \right) \right) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,0}, J^a) \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,0}, J^a). \\
 & + \frac{1}{(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,-k}, J^a) \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,k}, J^a) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,0}, X_{i,0}) + \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,-k}, X_{i,k}) \\
 & = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,k}, X_{i,-k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the above calculations in the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1. *For any $1 \leq i \neq n$, and for any $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\langle \phi_i \otimes \phi_i d\xi_i^2, L_{m_i} \rangle = \frac{1}{2(\ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,k}, X_{i,m_i-k}).$$

8.4. Proof of the Main theorem (Theorem 1.1). The product

$$H^1(C, T_C(-D)) \otimes H^0(C, \text{SPar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D)) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \text{Par} \mathcal{P})$$

which induces a homomorphism

$$(8.12) \quad \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{sym} : R^1 \pi_{n*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathfrak{X}_G^{par}/M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}}(-D) \longrightarrow \pi_{n*} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{G,\tau}^{par,rs}/S}.$$

Consider the Čech cover of C given by $C = C^* \cup (\sqcup_{i=1}^n D_{p_i})$. In particular, given any Čech cohomology class $\{\xi_i^{n_i+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i}\}$ in $H^1(C, T_C(-D))$, using Serre duality and the identification of $\text{SPar}(\mathcal{P})(D)$ with $\text{Par}(\mathcal{P})^\vee$, we get a symmetric bilinear form on $H^0(C, \text{SPar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D))$.

As in the previous section, consider a section $\phi \in H^0(C, \text{SPar}(\mathcal{P}) \otimes K_C(D))$. For each i , the section ϕ restricted to $D_{p_i}^*$ is of the form

$$\phi_i d\xi_i = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,m} \xi_i^{-m-1} d\xi_i \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((\xi_i)) d\xi_i.$$

Thus evaluating a cocycle class $\{\xi_i^{n_i+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i}\}$ against a section ϕ written in the Čech cover as $\{\phi_i d\xi_i\}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{\xi_i^{n_i+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i}\}(\phi) & := \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi_i d\xi_i \otimes \langle \xi_i^{n_i+1} \frac{d}{d\xi_i}, \phi_i d\xi_i \rangle) \\
 & = \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\phi_i d\xi_i, \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,m} \xi_i^{n_i-m}) \\
 & = \text{Res}_{\xi_i=0} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,k} \xi_i^{-k-1}, \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X_{i,m} \xi_i^{n_i-m}) d\xi_i \\
 & = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(X_{i,k}, X_{i,n_i-k}).
 \end{aligned}$$

We summarize the discussion in this subsection in the following proposition which completes the proof the Theorem 1.1.

Proposition 8.2. *Let a be any rational number and ϕ be a faithful representation with Dynkin index m_ϕ . Then the symbol of the projective heat operator \mathfrak{D} acting on $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{\otimes a, m_\phi} \cong \text{Det}_{par, \phi}^{\otimes a}(\tau)$ constructed from the Sugawara tensor and uniformization (see Section 8.2) coincides with*

$$\frac{1}{2(a \cdot m_\phi \cdot \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))} \tilde{\rho}_{sym}.$$

Hence, the projective heat operator \mathfrak{D} and the projective heat operator constructed in [19] via (6.2) coincide.

Remark 8.3. If a square-root of $K_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S}$ exists, then it follows that the push-forward of the line bundle $\text{Det}_{par}(\alpha) \otimes K_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ produces conformal blocks of level $\ell - h^\vee(\mathfrak{sl}(r))$, where $h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})$ is the dual Coxeter number of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . From the calculations in this section, and the fact that the tangent and cotangent spaces of the moduli space $M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}$ are only dependent on the flag type of α , it follows that the symbol ρ_{sym}/ℓ equals the symbol of the differential operator that induces the TUY connection. However, it should be mentioned that even if $K_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S}$ has a square-root, the pushforward $\pi_* \left(\text{Det}_{par}(\alpha) \otimes K_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)$ may not have any sections.

9. PROOF OF THEOREM 6.3

Theorem 6.3 is proved in several steps. The main strategy is to reduce to the case of parabolic vector bundles with full flags and apply the technique of abelianization by restricting to generic fibers of the Hitchin map.

9.1. Reduction to the SL_r case. Since G is semisimple, any short exact sequence of finite dimensional G -modules splits. In particular, for a faithful G -module V , the G -module $\text{End}(V)$ decomposes as $\mathfrak{g} \oplus W_0$. Given an injective homomorphism $G \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_r(\mathbb{C})$, we have a natural embedding $f : M_{G, \beta}^{par, ss} \rightarrow M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, ss}$. The above splitting $\text{End}(V) = \mathfrak{g} \oplus W_0$ of the G -module gives a splitting of the tangent bundle

$$f^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S} = \mathcal{T}_{M_{G, \beta}^{par, ss}/S} \oplus W.$$

Let $Y_{G, \beta} := f^{-1}(M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s})$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S} & \xrightarrow{\cup \mathbb{L}} & R^1 \pi_* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S} \\ \text{Sym}^2 Df \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_{G*} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{Y_{G, \beta}/S} & \xrightarrow{\cup \mathbb{L}} & R^1 \pi_{G*} \mathcal{T}_{Y_{G, \beta}/S}, \end{array}$$

where $\pi : M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s} \rightarrow S$ and $\pi_G : M_{G, \beta}^{par, s} \rightarrow S$ are the natural projections. This was also denoted by π_e in the earlier section, but we write just π and π_G since there is no scope of confusion in this section.

Here \mathbb{L} is an element of the rational Picard group of $M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}$, and $\pi_G = f \circ \pi$. Hence for two elements \mathbb{L}_1 and \mathbb{L}_2 of $\text{Pic}(M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, if $\cup[\mathbb{L}_1]$ and $\cup[\mathbb{L}_2]$ agree on $H^0(M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}, \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{par, s}/S})$, then they agree on $H^0(Y_{G, \beta}, \pi_{G*} \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{Y_{G, \beta}/S})$.

Since the codimension of the complement of $Y_{G, \beta}$ is $M_{G, \beta}^{par, rs}$ is greater than two, the restriction of the linear maps $\cup[\mathbb{L}_1]$ and $\cup[\mathbb{L}_2]$ agree on all of $M_{G, \beta}^{par, rs}$.

9.2. Reduction to the SL_r with full flags. In this step, we claim that for proving theorem 6.3 for any line bundle \mathbb{L} and the map

$$\cup[\mathbb{L}] : \pi_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} \right) \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} \right),$$

it is enough to assume that α corresponds to weights for full flags.

9.2.1. Changing weights without changing stability. Let $D = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset C$ be the parabolic divisor. Consider parabolic vector bundles of rank r . For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\alpha_{i,j} = \frac{m_{i,j}}{\ell}$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, be the parabolic weights at p_i , where $m_{i,j}$ and ℓ are nonnegative integers. Note that for any i , the integers $m_{i,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, need not be distinct and the weights are assigned to full flags. We will reformulate a general notion of parabolic bundles for which the quasiparabolic flags are not necessarily complete in the following way:

We will set the quasiparabolic flag at each p_i to be complete flags, but two different terms in the filtration can have same parabolic weight. This reformulation does not alter the stability and semistability conditions.

Fix a vector bundle E of rank r on X . Let E_* be a parabolic structure on E of the type above. Let E'_* be another parabolic bundle satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) the underlying holomorphic vector bundle for E'_* is E itself,
- (2) the quasiparabolic flag for E'_* coincides with that of E_* at each p_i (recall that the quasiparabolic flags are complete but two different subspaces of E_{p_i} can have same parabolic weight), and
- (3) for any term $F_{i,j} \subset E_{p_i}$ of the quasiparabolic flag at p_i , if $\alpha_{i,j}$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_{i,j}$ are the weights of $F_{i,j}$ in E_* and E'_* respectively, then

$$(9.2) \quad \left| \alpha_{i,j} - \tilde{\alpha}_{i,j} \right| \leq \frac{1}{3\ell nr^2}.$$

It is straight-forward to verify the following:

Proposition 9.1. *The parabolic vector bundle E'_* is stable if and only if the parabolic vector bundle E_* is stable.*

Proposition 9.1 is a straight-forward verification using the definition of stability.

Let α be a set of weights defining the parabolic structure. We choose a refinement of α , denoted by $\tilde{\alpha}$, such that for each point p_i , the weight-tuple α_i consists of distinct weights. The weights $\tilde{\alpha}$ are a choice of weights for full flag such that the corresponding weights for the given partial flags is α . By (9.2), we can always find $\tilde{\alpha}$ by choosing the missing weights small enough such that the natural forgetful map preserves stability with respect to $\tilde{\alpha}$ and α . In particular we get a natural regular map $F : M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} \longrightarrow M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\mathrm{par}, s}$ fitting in the following commutative diagram:

$$(9.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} & \xrightarrow{F} & M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\mathrm{par}, s} \\ & \searrow \tilde{\pi} & \downarrow \pi \\ & & S \end{array}$$

The differential of F induces natural maps

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} &\xrightarrow{DF} R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \left(DF^* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} \right) \right), \\ \tilde{\pi}_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{Sym}^2 DF} \tilde{\pi}_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \left(DF^* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 9.2. *The Leray spectral sequence gives natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \left(DF^* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right) \right) &\cong R^1 \pi_* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right), \\ \tilde{\pi}_* \text{Sym}^2 \left(DF^* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right) \right) &\cong \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the map F in (9.3), the moduli space $M_{\text{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, s}$ is a fiber bundle over the moduli space $M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}$, and moreover, the fibers are products of flag manifolds. Hence we have

$$(9.4) \quad F_* \mathcal{O}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, s}} = \mathcal{O}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}} \quad \text{and} \quad R^k F_* \mathcal{O}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, s}} = 0$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Given any vector bundle W on M_α , using (9.4) and the projection formula we have

$$(9.5) \quad F_* F^* W = W \quad \text{and} \quad R^k F_* F^* W = 0$$

for all $k \geq 1$. From (9.5) it follows that

$$(9.6) \quad R^k \tilde{\pi}_* F^* W = R^k \pi_* W.$$

Now take $W = \text{Sym}^2(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S})$ in (9.6). □

As before, let \mathbb{L} be a element of the rational Picard group $\text{Pic}(M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, rs}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Using the isomorphisms in Lemma 9.2 we have the following diagram

$$(9.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & R^1 \pi_* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right) \\ & \nearrow & \cong \uparrow \\ R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} & \xrightarrow{DF} & R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \left(DF^* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right) \right) \\ \uparrow \cup \mathbb{L} & & \uparrow \cup \mathbb{L} \\ \tilde{\pi}_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} & \xrightarrow{\text{Sym}^2 DF} & \tilde{\pi}_* \text{Sym}^2 \left(DF^* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right) \right) \\ & \searrow & \cong \downarrow \\ & & \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \cup \mathbb{L} \end{array}$$

The isomorphisms in Lemma 9.2, composed with differential maps, gives natural maps

$$(9.8) \quad R^1 \tilde{\pi}_* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right)$$

$$(9.9) \quad \tilde{\pi}_* \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \longrightarrow \pi_* \text{Sym}^2 \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \right).$$

With the above notation we have the following proposition:

Proposition 9.3. *The natural maps in (9.8) and (9.9) are isomorphisms, and the diagram in (9.7) is commutative.*

Proof. Consider the differential of F

$$DF : \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}, s}/S} \longrightarrow F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\text{SL}_r, \alpha}^{\text{par}, s}/S}$$

and its second symmetric product

$$\mathrm{Sym}^2(DF) : \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sym}^2(F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}) = F^* \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}).$$

Let $\beta := (DF)^* : F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}^\vee \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}^\vee$ be the dual of the above homomorphism DF .

Note that $\mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S})$ (respectively, $\mathrm{Sym}^2(F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S})$) defines fiberwise quadratic functions on $\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}^\vee$ (respectively, $F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}^\vee$). Take any $z \in M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}$. For any $w \in \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S})_z$ and $\nu \in (F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}^\vee)_z$, we have

$$(9.10) \quad (\mathrm{Sym}^2(DF))_z(w)(\nu) = w((DF)_z^*(\nu)).$$

Let $\pi_s : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow S$ be the family of n -pointed curves. From (9.10) we have the following commutative diagram of homomorphisms

$$(9.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\pi}_* \mathrm{Sym}^2(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_* \mathrm{Sym}^2(DF)} & \tilde{\pi}_* F^* \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}/S} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ R^1 \pi_{s*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}} & R^1 \pi_{s*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}/S}(-D) \end{array}$$

in which $\tilde{\pi}_* \mathrm{Sym}^2(DF)$ is an isomorphism, because all other homomorphisms in (9.11) are isomorphisms. This proves that the map in (9.9) is an isomorphism.

The proof that the map in (9.8) is an isomorphism is very similar to the proof of it for (9.9). Now it is evident that the diagram in (9.7) is commutative. \square

9.3. Reduction to abelian varieties. This step is essentially the same as in [33, Proposition 5.2] generalized to the parabolic set-up. For completeness, we include the details by following the exposition in [3].

Let $\pi_s : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow S$ be a family of n -pointed curves and D be the divisor of marked points. Consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{B} := \bigoplus_{i=2}^r \pi_{s*} K_{\mathcal{C}/S}^i((i-1)D)$, and let $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow S$ be the natural projection map.

Let $\pi_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss} \longrightarrow S$ be the relative parabolic Higgs moduli space, and consider the Hitchin morphism $\mathrm{Hit} : \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ along with the commutative diagram

$$(9.12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hit}} & \mathcal{B} \\ & \searrow \pi_{\mathcal{H}} & \downarrow \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \\ & & S. \end{array}$$

Let \vec{b} be a generic point of \mathcal{B} . Then via abelianization, it is well-known that the fibers of Hit are relative abelian varieties $A_{\vec{b}}$ over S .

We get natural functions on $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}$ obtained by pulling back sections of \mathcal{B}^* to $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}$ via the Hitchin map Hit . Since $\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}^\vee \subset \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}$, and the natural Liouville symplectic form on $\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}^\vee$ extends to $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}$, we get Hamiltonian vector fields on $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}$ tangent to the fibers of the parabolic Hitchin map. Since the codimension of the complement of $\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}^\vee$ in $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}$ is

at least two, we get that any class \mathbb{L} in the rational Picard group of $M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}$ extends to entire $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}$. Now the cup product with the relative Atiyah class of \mathbb{L} gives a natural map

$$(9.13) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}.$$

Since the map $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$ in (9.12) is affine, it follows that $R^1 \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}$ is isomorphic to the locally free sheaf $\pi_{\mathcal{B}*} \left(R^1 \mathrm{Hit}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}} \right)$. Hence, (9.13) reduces to

$$(9.14) \quad f_{\mathbb{L}} : \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{B}*} \left(R^1 \mathrm{Hit}_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}} \right).$$

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 9.4. *Let \mathbb{L}_1 and \mathbb{L}_2 be two rational line bundles on $M_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, ss}$, and let \vec{b} be a generic points of the Hitchin base. Then $f_{\mathbb{L}_1} = f_{\mathbb{L}_2}$ if and only if the two homomorphisms $H^0(A_{\vec{b}}, \mathcal{T}_{A_{\vec{b}}}) \longrightarrow H^1(A_{\vec{b}}, \mathcal{O}_{A_{\vec{b}}})$ induced by cupping with the restrictions of \mathbb{L}_1 and \mathbb{L}_2 are the same.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that over a subset \mathcal{B}_0 , the fibers are abelian varieties and the complement of \mathcal{B}_0 in \mathcal{B} has high codimension. \square

Now the composition of $f_{\mathbb{L}}$ with the natural Hamiltonian vector fields produces a homomorphism

$$(9.15) \quad h_{\mathbb{L}} : \pi_{\mathcal{B}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{B}^* \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}.$$

Observe that this map $h_{\mathbb{L}}$ is equivariant with respect to the natural \mathbb{C}^* action on $\pi_{\mathcal{B}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \mathcal{B}^*$ and the natural action of \mathbb{C}^* on $R^1 \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}$ of weight -1 . Since $H^0(A_{\vec{b}}, \mathcal{T}_{A_{\vec{b}}})$ is given by vector fields coming from \mathcal{B}^* , we have the following lemma:

Lemma 9.5. *The two homomorphisms $h_{\mathbb{L}_1}$ and $h_{\mathbb{L}_2}$ coincide if and only if $f_{\mathbb{L}_1} = f_{\mathbb{L}_2}$.*

Remark 9.6. In the case of partial flags, the image of Hit is not entire \mathcal{B} , but the image is an affine variety. Hence functions on \mathcal{B} surjects to vector fields on $H^0(A_{\vec{b}}, \mathcal{T}_{A_{\vec{b}}})$ and therefore Lemma 9.5 continues to hold without the assumption that the flags are complete.

Finally we will be done if we relate the map

$$\cup[\mathbb{L}] : \pi_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \right) \longrightarrow R^1 \pi_* \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \right)$$

with the map $h_{\mathbb{L}}$ in (9.15).

Observe that $\pi_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \left(\mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \right)$ injects into $\pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}$ as the degree two part. Since the Hitchin map is proper, and the fibers are connected, functions on the Higgs moduli spaces are all pull-backs of functions on the Hitchin base. As described earlier, these functions give Hamiltonian vector fields and hence we have a map

$$(9.16) \quad \pi_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \longleftarrow \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}.$$

Cupping with any section γ of $R^1 \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}$ produces a map

$$(9.17) \quad \pi_* \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathcal{T}_{M_{\mathrm{SL}_r, \tilde{\alpha}}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \longleftarrow \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}} \longrightarrow \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s} / S} \xrightarrow{\cup \gamma} R^1 \pi_{\mathcal{H}*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}, \mathrm{SL}_r}^{\mathrm{par}, s}}.$$

Consider the natural inclusion of $R^1\pi_*\mathcal{J}_{M_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}/S}$ into $R^1\pi_{\mathcal{H}*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}}$. On the other hand, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{M_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}/S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}}/\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}}^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}}$ is the ideal sheaf of $M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}$ in the moduli of parabolic Higgs bundles. Since there are no global tangent vector field on $M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}$, it follows from the long exact sequence of cohomology that

$$R^1\pi_*\left(\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}/S}\right) \cong R^1\pi_*\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}}/\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}}^2\right).$$

Now the natural restriction map induces another map

$$(9.18) \quad R^1\pi_{\mathcal{H}*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}} \longrightarrow R^1\pi_*\left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}}/\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}}^2\right)$$

which restricts to the identity map on $R^1\pi_*\left(\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}/S}\right)$. Hence, combining eqns. (9.17) and (9.18), we have the following diagram

$$(9.19) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi_*\mathrm{Sym}^2\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}/S} & \xrightarrow{\cup\gamma} & R^1\pi_*\left(\mathcal{J}_{M_{SL_r,\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,s}/S}\right) \\ \swarrow & & \uparrow \\ \pi_{\mathcal{H}*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}} & \xrightarrow{\cup\gamma} & R^1\pi_{\mathcal{H}*}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,SL_r}^{par,s}} \end{array}$$

The same proof as in Hitchin [33] (see [3, Proposition C.2.4]) shows that the above diagram commutes up to a scalar, and, by construction, the horizontal map at the bottom is the map h_γ (cf. (9.15)). Thus we are done.

Remark 9.7. The same argument works for any parabolic Higgs bundles with any structure group and partial flag. Note that we have only used the connectivity of the fibers of the strongly parabolic Hitchin map.

9.4. Abelianization and determinant of cohomology. It is enough to consider the case of parabolic Higgs bundles of degree zero and rank r with full flag and arbitrary parabolic weights $\tilde{\alpha}$.

Consider a generic point \vec{b} of the Hitchin base for the parabolic Higgs moduli space $\mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{par,ss}$ with full flag and weights $\tilde{\alpha}$ and let $\tilde{p} : \tilde{C}_{\vec{b}} \rightarrow C$ be the spectral cover of C determined by the chosen point \vec{b} of the Hitchin base. The map \tilde{p} is of degree r and is fully ramified at the points $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$. Let $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ be the inverse image $\tilde{p}^{-1}(\mathbf{p})$ of the points \mathbf{p} .

It is known [26, 42] that the generic fiber $A_{\vec{b}}$ of the Hitchin map at is just the Jacobian $J(\tilde{C}_{\vec{b}})$.

Let L be a line bundle on \tilde{C} giving a point of $A_{\vec{b}}$ and consider the pushforward \tilde{p}_*L on C . Consider the divisor $D = p_1 + \dots + p_n$. There is a natural inclusion of sheaves

$$(9.20) \quad \tilde{p}_*(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\vec{b}}}(-(r-1)R)) \subset \dots \subset \tilde{p}_*(L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\vec{b}}}(-(r-i)R)) \subset \dots \subset \tilde{p}_*L$$

with quotients supported on D giving a quasi-parabolic structure on π_*L at the points \mathbf{p} . Here R is the ramification divisor $(\tilde{p}^*D)_{\text{red}}$.

Hence this gives a rational map from $A_{\tilde{b}}$ to the $M_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}}$. The fiber of the pull-back of the the parabolic determinant of cohomology $\text{ParDet}(\alpha)$ to the abelian variety at the point $L \in A_{\tilde{b}}$ is a rational linear combinations of elements of the form

- (1) $H^0(\tilde{C}_{\tilde{b}}, L)^\vee \otimes H^1(\tilde{C}_{\tilde{b}}, L) \otimes \det(\tilde{p}_*L)_{p_i}^{\frac{\chi(\pi_*L)}{r}}$,
- (2) $\det \text{Gr}^j \mathcal{F}(\tilde{p}_*L)_{p_i} \otimes \det^{-1}(\tilde{p}_*L)_{p_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$.

However, observe that the second expression for each p_i is independent of L and is equal to the line $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\tilde{b}}}(-q_i)|_{q_i} = K_{\tilde{C}_{\tilde{b}}|_{q_i}}$. This follows from the facts that

- $\det \text{Gr}^j \mathcal{F}(\tilde{p}_*L)_{p_i} = L_{q_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\tilde{b}}}(-jq_i)|_{q_i} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}_{\tilde{b}}}(-(j-1)q_i)|_{q_i}^{-1}$
- $\det(\tilde{p}_*L)_{p_i} = L_{q_i}$

together with the natural flag structure given by (9.20).

Thus we are done since the calculations above shows that the pull-back of $\text{ParDet}(\alpha)$ to the abelian variety only depends on the factors of type (1). This shows that the map

$$\cup[\mathbb{L}] : H^0(A_{\tilde{b}}, \mathcal{T}_{A_{\tilde{b}}}) \longrightarrow H^1(A_{\tilde{b}}, \mathcal{O}_{A_{\tilde{b}}})$$

depends only on the level for all $\mathbb{L} \in \text{Pic}(M_{\tilde{\alpha}}^{\text{par}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. This completes the proof.

10. GEOMETRIZATION OF THE KZ EQUATION ON INVARIANTS

In this section, we show a geometric construction of the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection (KZ). This question was suggested to us by Professor P. Belkale. Let us first recall the classical construction of the KZ connection [35].

10.1. KZ connection. Let \mathfrak{g} be a fixed semisimple Lie algebra, and let $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ be an n -tuple of highest weights. Consider the vector space of invariants of tensor product of representations

$$A_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{g}) := \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}, \mathbb{C}).$$

The space of invariants sits inside the zero weight space $(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n})_0^*$ of the dual of the tensor product of representations.

Let $X_n = \{\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j\}$, and consider the trivial vector bundle $\mathbb{A}_{\vec{\lambda}}$ on the configuration space of points X_n whose fiber is $A_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{g})$. It is well known [29, 28, 68] that the space of conformal blocks $\mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(C, \mathfrak{g}, \ell, \mathbf{z})$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 = \mathbb{C} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ with n marked points (z_1, \dots, z_n) for \mathfrak{g} at level ℓ and weights $\vec{\lambda}$ injects into $A_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$(10.1) \quad \iota : \mathcal{V}_{\vec{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g}, \ell, \mathbf{z}) \hookrightarrow A_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

This map is actually an isomorphism for $\ell \gg 0$. Specific bounds for ℓ are given in Belkale-Gibney-Mukhopadhyay ([13, 14]).

As in Section 3, consider an orthonormal basis $J^1, \dots, J^{\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} for the normalized Cartan-Killing form. Define the Casimir operator $\Omega = \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} J^a J^a$. For pairs of integers $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$, and vectors $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \in V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}$, let

$$\Omega_{i,j}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) := \sum_{a=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes J^a v_i \otimes \dots \otimes J^a v_j \otimes \dots \otimes v_n.$$

For any complex number $\kappa \neq 0$, the formula

$$(10.2) \quad \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}}^{(\kappa)} (f \otimes \langle \Psi |) \right) (|\Phi\rangle) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_i} \langle \Psi | \Phi \rangle - \frac{f}{\kappa} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\langle \Psi | \Omega_{i,j}(\phi) \rangle}{z_i - z_j},$$

defines a flat connection on $(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n})_0^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_n}$ over X_n that preserves the subbundle $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Hence, its monodromy gives a representation of the pure braid group $\pi_1(X_n, z)$.

In this discussion, we restrict ourselves to the case where $\kappa = \ell + h^\vee(\mathfrak{g})$, and $\kappa_{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda_i, \theta_{\mathfrak{g}}) < 1$ for all i . In this case it is known that the connection $\nabla^{(\ell+h^\vee(\mathfrak{g}))}$ preserves the bundle $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathfrak{g}, \ell)$ of conformal blocks and it is equal to the TUY/WZW connection [28, 29, 68].

10.2. Invariants as global sections. As in Section 4.1 consider the moduli stack of quasi-parabolic bundles $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ of local type τ on \mathbb{P}^1 , where τ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are related by the usual exponential map as before. Consider the open substack $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ of $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ parametrizing quasi-parabolic bundle on \mathbb{P}^1 whose underlying bundle is trivial. By construction, we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ with the quotient stack

$$(10.3) \quad [(G/P_1 \times \cdots \times G/P_n)/G],$$

where P_1, \dots, P_n are the parabolics determined by τ_1, \dots, τ_n and G acts diagonally on the product of partial flag varieties.

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be the Borel-Weil-Bott line bundle on $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$, and consider the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ to $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$. We get a natural map

$$(10.4) \quad H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}).$$

Now the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ to $[(G/P_1 \times \cdots \times G/P_n)/G]$ is $L_{\lambda_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes L_{\lambda_n}$, where the L_{λ_i} are the natural homogeneous line bundles on G/P_i determined by the weights λ_i . Moreover, by the Borel-Weil theorem, we have $H^0(G/P_i, L_{\lambda_i}) = V_{\lambda_i}^*$. Thus, from the restriction we get the natural commutative diagram

$$(10.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (\otimes_{i=1}^n H^0(G/P_i, L_{\lambda_i}))^{\mathfrak{g}} \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathcal{V}_{\bar{\lambda}}^\dagger(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathfrak{g}, \ell, z) & \xleftarrow{\quad \iota \quad} & \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V_{\lambda_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}, \mathbb{C}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & (V_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes \cdots \otimes V_{\lambda_n}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{array}$$

Here the left vertical isomorphism is due to Laszlo-Sorger [41]; the diagram was used in [13]. Now it follows that the complement of $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ in $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ is just the ordinary theta divisor.

10.3. Differential operators. Recall the notion of a *good stack* from Beilinson-Drinfeld [8]: an equidimensional algebraic stack \mathcal{Y} over complex numbers is *good* if the dimension of \mathcal{Y} is half the dimension of the cotangent stack $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. Let \mathcal{Y}_{sm} be the smooth topology of \mathcal{Y} . For any object $S \in \mathcal{Y}_{sm}$ and a smooth 1-morphism $\pi_S \in \mathcal{Y}$, we have the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{T}_{S/\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}_S \longrightarrow \pi_S^* \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Y}} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the sheaf of differential operators \mathcal{D}_S on S and the left ideal $I = \mathcal{D}_S \mathcal{T}_{S/\mathcal{Y}} \subset \mathcal{D}_S$. Set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}}(S) := \mathcal{D}_S/I$. This $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ module along with a natural filtration such that $\text{Sym } \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Y}} \cong \text{gr } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{Y}}$. The above also works for differential operators twisted by a line bundle.

Since the nilpotent cone of the moduli space of parabolic Higgs bundles is isotropic of exactly half the dimension [6, 26, 42], it follows that the stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, z, \tau)$ is good. Moreover, since

both $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ and $\mathcal{P}ar_G^{rs}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ are quotients of a smooth scheme by a reductive group, they are also good.

Now we know that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ descends to a line bundle on $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$. The construction of the projective heat operator (cf. Definition 6.2) with symbol (6.2) gives a second order differential operator \mathbb{D} on $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ over the moduli stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G^{rs}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$. Since the sheaf $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 2}(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}})$ is coherent and $\mathcal{P}ar_G^{rs}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ has complement of dimension at least two (provided $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ satisfies the conditions in the statement of Theorem 7.1) applying Hartogs theorem, we get a differential operator on $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ over the entire stack $\mathcal{P}ar_G(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$, which we will still denote by \mathbb{D} .

Recall that via the uniformization theorem and the Sugawara construction, we have a degree two differential operator \mathcal{D} on $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$, which by Theorem 1.1 agrees with \mathbb{D} . Since the Sugawara construction restricted to the open substack $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$ induces the KZ connection, we have the following corollary obtained by restricting \mathbb{D} to $\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau})$.

Corollary 10.1. *Let $\pi^c : \mathcal{P}ar_G^c(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \rightarrow X_n$ be the relative open substack of quasi-parabolic bundles whose underlying bundle is trivial. Then the heat operator \mathbb{D} induces a flat connection on the vector bundle $\pi_*^c \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ over X_n whose fiber at a point \mathbf{z} is $H^0(\mathcal{P}ar_G^c(C, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{\tau}), \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}})$. Moreover, the natural identification of $\pi_*^c \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ with $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ is flat for the geometric connection on $\pi_*^c \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\lambda}}$ and the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection on $\mathbb{A}_{\bar{\lambda}}$.*

REFERENCES

1. Jørgen Ellegaard Andersen, *Hitchin's connection, Toeplitz operators, and symmetry invariant deformation quantization*, Quantum Topol. **3** (2012), no. 3-4, 293–325.
2. Scott Axelrod, Steve Della Pietra, and Edward Witten, *Geometric quantization of Chern-Simons gauge theory*, J. Differential Geom. **33** (1991), no. 3, 787–902.
3. Thomas Baier, Michele Bolognesi, Johan Martens, and Christian Pauly, *The Hitchin connection in arbitrary characteristic*, preprint (2020), 47 pp., arxiv:2002.12288.
4. Vikraman Balaji, Indranil Biswas, and D. S. Nagaraj., *Ramified G-bundles as parabolic bundles*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **18** (2003), no. 2, 123–138.
5. Vikraman Balaji and C. S. Seshadri, *Moduli of parahoric \mathcal{G} -torsors on a compact Riemann surface*, J. Algebraic Geom. **24** (2015), no. 1, 1–49.
6. David Baraglia, Masoud Kamgarpour, and Rohith Varma, *Complete integrability of the parahoric Hitchin system*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2019), no. 21, 6499–6528.
7. Arnaud Beauville and Yves Laszlo, *Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **164** (1994), no. 2, 385–419.
8. Alexander Beilinson and Vladimir Drinfeld, *Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigen-sheaves*.
9. Alexander. A. Belavin, Alexander. M. Polyakov, and Alexander. B. Zamolodchikov, *Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory*, Nuclear Phys. B **241** (1984), no. 2, 333–380.
10. Prakash Belkale, *Strange duality and the Hitchin/WZW connection*, J. Differential Geom. **82** (2009), no. 2, 445–465.
11. Prakash Belkale and Najmuddin Fakhruddin, *Triviality properties of principal bundles on singular curves*, Algebr. Geom. **6** (2019), no. 2, 234–259.
12. ———, *Triviality properties of principal bundles on singular curves. II*, Canad. Math. Bull. **63** (2020), no. 2, 423–433.
13. Prakash Belkale, Angela Gibney, and Swarnava Mukhopadhyay, *Vanishing and identities of conformal blocks divisors*, Algebr. Geom. **2** (2015), no. 1, 62–90.
14. ———, *Nonvanishing of conformal blocks divisors on $\overline{M}_{0,n}$* , Transform. Groups **21** (2016), no. 2, 329–353.
15. Alexander. A. Beilinson. and Vadim. V. Schechtman, *Determinant bundles and Virasoro algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **118** (1988), no. 4, 651–701.
16. Usha Bhosle and A. Ramanathan, *Moduli of parabolic G-bundles on curves*, Math. Z. **202** (1989), no. 2, 161–180.
17. Indranil Biswas, *Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles*, Duke Math. J. **88** (1997), no. 2, 305–325.
18. Indranil Biswas and Norbert Hoffmann, *Poincaré families of G-bundles on a curve*, Math. Ann. **352** (2012), no. 1, 133–154.

19. Indranil Biswas, Swarnava Mukhopadhyay, and Richard Wentworth, *Ginzburg algebras and the Hitchin connection for parabolic G -bundles*, 2021.
20. Indranil Biswas and Nyshadham Raghavendra, *Determinants of parabolic bundles on Riemann surfaces*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **103** (1993), no. 1, 41–71.
21. Mette Bjerre, *The Hitchin connection for the quantization of the moduli space of parabolic bundles on surfaces with marked points*, PhD Thesis (2018), 101 pp., Aarhus University.
22. Hans U. Boden and Kôji Yokogawa, *Moduli spaces of parabolic Higgs bundles and parabolic $K(D)$ pairs over smooth curves. I*, Internat. J. Math. **7** (1996), no. 5, 573–598.
23. Vladimir G. Drinfeld, *Quantum groups*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 798–820.
24. Vladimir G. Drinfeld and Carlos Simpson, *B -structures on G -bundles and local triviality*, Math. Res. Lett. **2** (1995), no. 6, 823–829.
25. Najmuddin Fakhruddin, *Chern classes of conformal blocks*, Compact moduli spaces and vector bundles, Contemp. Math., vol. 564, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 145–176.
26. Gerd Faltings, *Stable G -bundles and projective connections*, J. Algebraic Geom. **2** (1993), no. 3, 507–568.
27. ———, *A proof for the Verlinde formula*, J. Algebraic Geom. **3** (1994), no. 2, 347–374.
28. Boris Feigin, Vadim Schechtman, and Alexander Varchenko, *On algebraic equations satisfied by hypergeometric correlators in WZW models. II*, Comm. Math. Phys. **170** (1995), no. 1, 219–247.
29. Boris Feigin, Vadim V. Schechtman, and Alexander Varchenko, *On algebraic equations satisfied by hypergeometric correlators in WZW models. I*, Comm. Math. Phys. **163** (1994), no. 1, 173–184.
30. Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, second ed., Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 88, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
31. Victor Ginzburg, *Resolution of diagonals and moduli spaces*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, pp. 231–266.
32. Günter Harder, *Halbeinfache Gruppenschemata über Dedekindringen*, Invent. Math. **4** (1967), 165–191.
33. Nigel Hitchin, *Flat connections and geometric quantization*, Comm. Math. Phys. **131** (1990), no. 2, 347–380.
34. Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto, *Modular and conformal invariance constraints in representation theory of affine algebras*, Adv. in Math. **70** (1988), no. 2, 156–236.
35. Vadim G. Knizhnik and Alexander B. Zamolodchikov, *Current algebra and Wess-Zumino model in two dimensions*, Nuclear Phys. B **247** (1984), no. 1, 83–103.
36. Toshihiko Kohno, *Monodromy representations of braid groups and Yang-Baxter equations*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **37** (1987), no. 4, 139–160.
37. Shrawan Kumar, *Demazure character formula in arbitrary Kac-Moody setting*, Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 2, 395–423.
38. Shrawan Kumar, M. S. Narasimhan, and A. Ramanathan, *Infinite Grassmannians and moduli spaces of G -bundles*, Math. Ann. **300** (1994), no. 1, 41–75.
39. Shrawan Kumar and M.S. Narasimhan, *Picard group of the moduli spaces of G -bundles*, Math. Ann. **308** (1997), no. 1, 155–173.
40. Yves Laszlo, *Hitchin's and WZW connections are the same*, J. Differential Geom. **49** (1998), no. 3, 547–576.
41. Yves Laszlo and Christoph Sorger, *The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic G -bundles over curves and their sections*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **30** (1997), no. 4, 499–525.
42. Marina Logares and Johan Martens, *Moduli of parabolic Higgs bundles and Atiyah algebroids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **649** (2010), 89–116.
43. Olivier Mathieu, *Formules de caractères pour les algèbres de Kac-Moody générales*, Astérisque (1988), no. 159–160, 267.
44. Vikram Mehta and C.S. Seshadri, *Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structures*, Math. Ann. **248** (1980), no. 3, 205–239.
45. Gregory Moore and Nathan Seiberg, *Lectures on RCFT*, Superstrings '89 (Trieste, 1989), World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1990, pp. 1–129.
46. Swarnava Mukhopadhyay, *Rank-level duality and conformal block divisors*, Adv. Math. **287** (2016), 389–411.
47. Swarnava Mukhopadhyay and Richard Wentworth, *Generalized theta functions, strange duality, and odd orthogonal bundles on curves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **370** (2019), no. 1, 325–376.
48. Makoto Namba, *Branched coverings and algebraic functions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 161, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.
49. M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri, *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. (2) **82** (1965), 540–567.
50. Sergei P. Novikov, *The Hamiltonian formalism and a multivalued analogue of Morse theory*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **37** (1982), no. 5(227), 3–49, 248.

51. Christian Pauly, *Espaces de modules de fibrés paraboliques et blocs conformes*, Duke Math. J. **84** (1996), no. 1, 217–235.
52. T. R. Ramadas, *Faltings' construction of the K-Z connection*, Comm. Math. Phys. **196** (1998), no. 1, 133–143.
53. A. Ramanathan, *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Math. Ann. **213** (1975), 129–152.
54. Ziv Ran, *Jacobi cohomology, local geometry of moduli spaces, and Hitchin connections*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **92** (2006), no. 3, 545–580.
55. Nicolai Reshetikhin, *The Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov system as a deformation of the isomonodromy problem*, Lett. Math. Phys. **26** (1992), no. 3, 167–177.
56. Vadim V. Schechtman and Alexander N. Varchenko, *Arrangements of hyperplanes and Lie algebra homology*, Invent. Math. **106** (1991), no. 1, 139–194.
57. Peter Scheinost and Martin Schottenloher, *Metaplectic quantization of the moduli spaces of flat and parabolic bundles*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **466** (1995), 145–219.
58. Atle Selberg, *On discontinuous groups in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces*, Contributions to function theory (internat. Colloq. Function Theory, Bombay, 1960), Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1960, pp. 147–164.
59. C. S. Seshadri, *Moduli of π -vector bundles over an algebraic curve*, Questions on Algebraic Varieties (C.I.M.E., III Ciclo, Varenna, 1969), Edizioni Cremonese, Rome, 1970, pp. 139–260.
60. Christoph Sorger, *La formule de Verlinde*, no. 237, 1996, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1994/95, pp. Exp. No. 794, 3, 87–114.
61. ———, *On moduli of G -bundles of a curve for exceptional G* , Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **32** (1999), no. 1, 127–133.
62. Christoph Sorger, *Lectures on moduli of principal G -bundles over algebraic curves*, School on Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 1999), ICTP Lect. Notes, vol. 1, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2000, pp. 1–57.
63. Xiaotao Sun and I-Hsun Tsai, *Hitchin's connection and differential operators with values in the determinant bundle*, J. Differential Geom. **66** (2004), no. 2, 303–343.
64. Constantin Teleman, *Lie algebra cohomology and the fusion rules*, Comm. Math. Phys. **173** (1995), no. 2, 265–311.
65. Constantin Teleman and Christopher Woodward, *Parabolic bundles, products of conjugacy classes and Gromov-Witten invariants*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **53** (2003), no. 3, 713–748.
66. Yoshifumi Tsuchimoto, *On the coordinate-free description of the conformal blocks*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **33** (1993), no. 1, 29–49.
67. Akihiro Tsuchiya and Yukihiro Kanie, *Vertex operators in conformal field theory on \mathbf{P}^1 and monodromy representations of braid group*, Conformal field theory and solvable lattice models (Kyoto, 1986), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 16, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1988, pp. 297–372.
68. Akihiro Tsuchiya, Kenji Ueno, and Yasuhiko Yamada, *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Integrable systems in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 19, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 459–566.
69. Bert van Geemen and Aise Johan de Jong, *On Hitchin's connection*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1998), no. 1, 189–228.
70. Gerald E. Welters, *Polarized abelian varieties and the heat equations*, Compositio Math. **49** (1983), no. 2, 173–194.
71. Edward Witten, *Nonabelian bosonization in two dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **92** (1984), no. 4, 455–472.
72. ———, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Braid group, knot theory and statistical mechanics, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys., vol. 9, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989, pp. 239–329.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, MUMBAI-400005, INDIA

E-mail address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, MUMBAI-400005, INDIA

E-mail address: swarnava@math.tifr.res.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA

E-mail address: raw@umd.edu